

KNOWLEDGE SHARING AND EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE – A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Kashini Subramaniam ^{1*}
Mahaliza binti Mansor ²

¹ Learning & Development (L&D), Perodua, Selangor & Fakulti Pengurusan dan Ekonomi, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, UPSI, 35900 Tanjong Malim, Perak, Malaysia (E-mail: s.kashini1702@gmail.com)

² Fakulti Pengurusan dan Ekonomi, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, UPSI, 35900 Tanjong Malim, Perak, Malaysia (E-mail: mahaliza@fpe.upsi.edu.my)

*Corresponding author: s.kashini1702@gmail.com

Article history

Received date : 16-5-2026
Revised date : 17-5-2026
Accepted date : 2-6-2026
Published date : 11-6-2026

To cite this document:

Subramaniam, K., & Mansor, M. (2026). Knowledge sharing and employee performance – A conceptual framework. *Journal of Islamic, Social, Economics and Development (JISED)*, 11 (83), 204 – 210.

Abstract: *This article presents an in-depth conceptual analysis of the relationship between knowledge sharing and employee performance, particularly within the context of the automotive industry. This conceptual paper explores the connection between knowledge sharing and employee performance within the automotive manufacturing industry. Although knowledge sharing has been recognized as a key driver of innovation and efficiency, its theoretical integration with performance outcomes remains underdeveloped in this sector. The study addresses this gap by proposing a framework that links individual, organizational, and technological factors influencing knowledge sharing to employee performance outcomes such as innovation, productivity, and engagement. Guided primarily by the Social Exchange Theory (SET), Resource-Based View (RBV), and the SECI Model, the framework clarifies how reciprocal trust, knowledge as a strategic resource, and dynamic knowledge conversion processes contribute to employee and organizational growth. The study contributes theoretically by simplifying multi-theory integration and practically by offering strategic guidance for automotive firms aiming to strengthen performance through knowledge sharing. The proposed model serves as a foundation for future empirical validation.*

Keywords: *Knowledge Sharing, Employee Performance, Automotive Industry, Social Exchange Theory, Resource-Based View, SECI Model*

Introduction

The sharing of knowledge within organizations is essential for attaining sustainable performance in new developments. Automotive manufacturing is an ideal context for this study due to its reliance on process knowledge, teamwork, and innovation. Employees across production, design, and service departments must continuously share insights to reduce errors, improve productivity, and enhance quality. Therefore, effective KS practices directly influence organizational performance indicators such as innovation capability, customer satisfaction, and cost efficiency. As organizations evolve amid global competition, digital transformation, and a focus on customer needs, comprehending the mechanisms of knowledge sharing becomes critical for achieving operational excellence and fostering employee engagement.

Research Objective

The main goal of this study is to create a conceptual framework that examines the connection between knowledge sharing and employee performance by integrating essential theoretical perspectives.

Problem Statement

Although knowledge sharing is widely recognized as vital for organizational success, its theoretical and practical integration in the automotive manufacturing context remains fragmented. Previous studies have explored knowledge sharing behaviors, but few have examined how these behaviors translate into employee performance outcomes. Moreover, limited research has articulated how multiple theoretical lenses can be combined to provide a unified explanation of KS-performance dynamics. This study addresses these gaps by developing a conceptual framework that synthesizes key theoretical perspectives and contextualizes them within the automotive industry.

Theoretical Foundation of Knowledge Sharing

Social Exchange Theory (SET)

SET posits that knowledge sharing behaviors are influenced by perceived reciprocal benefits. When employees feel trusted and expect recognition or support in return, they are more inclined to share their knowledge. Originally proposed by sociologist George Homans, SET asserts that human interactions are primarily motivated by the anticipation of rewards and costs associated with those interactions. This theory is particularly relevant as it underscores the importance of perceived benefits and reciprocity among employees

(Alam et al., 2022). Employees are more likely to engage in knowledge sharing when they believe their actions will be reciprocated, whether through tangible rewards or intangible acknowledgments such as recognition or enhanced social standing within the organization. Social Exchange Theory (SET) suggests that human interactions can be seen as transactions aimed at maximizing rewards while minimizing costs. In the context of knowledge sharing, SET implies that employees are more willing to share information if they perceive a net benefit from doing so, whether in terms of reciprocation, social capital, or personal development (Blau, 1964). SET emphasizes the significance of trust and mutual benefits in fostering a culture of knowledge sharing. When employees have confidence that their contributions will be appreciated and reciprocated, they are more inclined to participate in knowledge sharing activities. This theory highlights the role of organizational culture and interpersonal relationships in facilitating the exchange of knowledge.

SECI Model

The SECI Model, developed by Ikujiro Nonaka and Hirotaka Takeuchi, offers a dynamic framework for understanding the processes of knowledge creation and sharing. SECI stands for Socialization, Externalization, Combination, and Internalization, representing four modes of knowledge conversion (Al-Sa'di et al., 2017). This model emphasizes the interaction between tacit and explicit knowledge and how organizations can effectively manage this conversion to foster innovation and enhance employee performance. Socialization entails sharing tacit knowledge through direct interactions and shared experiences. Externalization involves translating tacit knowledge into explicit concepts through dialogue and reflection. Combination refers to merging various pieces of explicit knowledge to produce new insights. Internalization encompasses the process of embodying explicit knowledge into tacit understanding through practice and experimentation. The SECI Model accentuates the cyclical and iterative nature of knowledge sharing, highlighting the importance of continuous knowledge conversion for organizational learning and innovation.

Theoretical Foundation of Employee Performance

Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs

Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs stands as one of the most prominent theories in psychology, offering deep insights into human motivation. Developed by Abraham Maslow in 1943, this theory posits that individuals have a hierarchy of needs that ranges from fundamental physiological necessities to more intricate psychological desires, such as self-actualization (Maslow, 1943). According to Maslow's framework, once basic and psychological needs are met, employees seek self-actualization, which can be achieved through substantial knowledge sharing and educational opportunities. This hierarchy implies that motivation is driven by a sequential arrangement of needs, moving from fundamental physiological requirements to higher needs like self-actualization. In a workplace context, it's crucial for employees to first meet their essential needs, such as job security and fair compensation, before they can be motivated to reach higher levels of performance. As they advance through the hierarchy, they seek fulfillment in their roles, potentially leading to increased innovation and quality in their work output.

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, also known as the Motivation-Hygiene Theory, was proposed by Frederick Herzberg in the 1950s. This theory identifies two distinct categories of factors that influence employee motivation and job satisfaction: hygiene factors and motivators (Herzberg, 1959). Motivators, which include recognition and personal growth opportunities, are enhanced when employees are motivated to share their knowledge and skills. Knowledge sharing can lead to increased job satisfaction and employee engagement. Herzberg's model distinguishes hygiene factors from motivators, explaining that hygiene factors like salary and working conditions do not directly improve employee performance, but can cause dissatisfaction if not adequately addressed. On the other hand, motivators, such as recognition and chances for advancement, directly improve employee performance by fulfilling their intrinsic needs for achievement and growth. Understanding this theory helps organizations create environments that fulfill basic employee needs while also fostering motivation.

Integrated Conceptual Framework

The integration of Social Exchange Theory (SET), the SECI Model, Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, and Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory provides a holistic view of how knowledge sharing

enhances employee performance in the automotive manufacturing context. Each theory contributes a unique dimension behavioural, process-based, and motivational that collectively explains why and how employees share knowledge and how this behaviour translates into performance outcomes.

In this integrated framework, knowledge sharing acts as the bridge between organizational enablers (trust, motivation, and supportive culture) and employee performance outcomes (innovation, productivity, and job satisfaction). When employees perceive trust and fairness (SET), participate in dynamic knowledge exchange processes (SECI), and experience fulfilment of their motivational needs (Maslow and Herzberg), they are more likely to perform effectively, innovate, and remain engaged.

Thus, the framework demonstrates that effective knowledge sharing is both a social and motivational process, supported by organizational culture and driven by employee needs. This integrated model can guide empirical research and managerial practices to strengthen employee performance through structured knowledge-sharing strategies in the automotive sector.

Outcomes and Impact

The proposed conceptual framework is expected to produce several critical outcomes and organizational impacts, particularly in knowledge-intensive sectors such as the automotive industry.

By integrating multiple theories, organizations will gain a more comprehensive understanding of the nature of knowledge sharing and its effects on employee motivation, innovation, and productivity. This deeper organizational insight will facilitate clearer human resource and organizational development strategies, establishing how employee participation in knowledge sharing relates to tangible performance indicators such as efficiency, creativity, and quality.

These outcomes are projected to foster an organizational culture that views knowledge as a strategic asset and encourages continuous learning and collaboration among employees. The conceptual model provides a systematic foundation for future empirical testing, allowing researchers to examine hypotheses regarding the relationship between knowledge sharing enablers and employee performance dimensions. Employees who actively participate in knowledge sharing are anticipated to demonstrate improved performance behaviors, higher job satisfaction, and a better alignment with organizational objectives.

From an organizational perspective, there are numerous positive impacts. By promoting knowledge sharing practices, organizations are likely to experience enhanced team collaboration, increased capacity for innovation, and decreased operational redundancies. Enhanced employee performance will contribute to achieving strategic business goals, such as market responsiveness, cost-effectiveness, and improved customer service. The model advocates for the implementation of knowledge-sharing platforms, recognition systems, and training initiatives that align with employee motivational needs, thereby fostering sustainable talent development.

Lessons Learned

The conceptual analysis has uncovered several important insights that can inform future research and organizational implementation of knowledge sharing initiatives. Aspects such as Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs and Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, social behavior theories

including Social Exchange Theory (SET) and SECI which provide a thorough perspective for examining the dynamics of knowledge sharing. This diverse methodology reveals how different driving forces individual, organizational, and technological interact to influence knowledge behaviors.

Conclusion

This conceptual framework bridges theory and practice by outlining ways in which knowledge sharing can be systematically promoted to improve employee performance. It serves as a resource for HR managers, knowledge officers, and organizational strategists to weave knowledge sharing into everyday operations, culture, and strategic HR practices. By integrating various theoretical angles, we seek to reveal how knowledge-sharing behaviors can impact employee performance outcomes in modern organizations. The framework we've established not only clarifies the processes connecting these two critical constructs but also lays the foundation for future empirical studies and practical applications in strategic knowledge management.

The conceptual framework presents functions as a connection between knowledge sharing and employee performance by employing multiple theoretical perspectives. This integration facilitates a comprehensive understanding of how knowledge sharing can be strategically utilized to boost employee performance. By synthesizing these theories, we provide a comprehensive perspective on knowledge sharing that acknowledges both the social and cognitive facets involved in the process.

References

- Abdi, K., Mardani, A., Tupenaite, L., Naimaviciene, J., Kanapeckiene, L., & Kutut, V. (2018). The effect of knowledge management, organizational culture and organizational learning on innovation in automotive industry. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 19(1), 1–19.
- Alam, M. Z., Kousar, S., Shafqat, N., & Shabbir, A. (2022). Drivers and challenges of tacit knowledge sharing in automotive workshop employees. *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, 52(1), 71–86.
- Chang, W. J., Liao, S. H., & Wu, T. T. (2017). Relationships among organizational culture, knowledge sharing, and innovation capability: A case of the automobile industry in Taiwan. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 15(3), 471–490.
- Chaudhary, R. (2019). Green human resource management in Indian automobile industry. *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 10(2), 161–175.
- Conding, J. (2015). Green Practices, Green Innovation, and Green Performance in Malaysian automotive industry (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris).
- Edú-Valsania, S., Moriano, J. A., & Molero, F. (2016). Authentic leadership and employee knowledge sharing behavior: Mediation of the innovation climate and workgroup identification. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 37(4), 487–506.
- Hassan, N., Zahidi, N. F., Ali, S., Aziz, R. A., Razak, N. A., Hamid, N. Z. A., & Halif, M. M. (2017). Quality of work life as a predictor toward employee loyalty at Malaysian automotive industry. *International Journal of Arts & Sciences*, 10(1), 23–35.
- Kamarudin, K. S. B. I. N. (n.d.). Influencing factors of bottom-up Kaizen model implementation in Malaysian automotive industry. Unpublished manuscript.
- Kremer, H., Villamor, I., & Aguinis, H. (2019). Innovation leadership: Best-practice recommendations for promoting employee creativity, voice, and knowledge sharing. *Business Horizons*, 62(1), 65–74.
- Kwahk, K. Y., & Park, D. H. (2016). The effects of network sharing on knowledge-sharing activities and job performance in enterprise social media environments. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 55, 826–839.
- Liang, L. (2019). Blue ocean leadership for sustaining lean manufacturing in Malaysian automotive industry. Unpublished doctoral dissertation.
- Muniz Jr, J., Hong, J., Oliveira, S., Wintersberger, D., & Popadiuk, S. (2019). Knowledge sharing in the automotive sector: A comparative study of Chinese and Brazilian firms. *Production*, 29, e20180084.
- Muniz Jr, J., Wintersberger, D., & Hong, J. L. (2022). Worker and manager judgments about factors that facilitate knowledge-sharing: Insights from a Brazilian automotive assembly line. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 29(2), 132–146.
- Nordin, N., & Mohamed, R. (n.d.). Development of lean tacit knowledge during lean implementation in a manufacturing company. Unpublished manuscript.
- Nordin, N., Mohamed, R., & Uchihira, N. (2020). Model of tacit knowledge transfer in lean management. In *Lean Manufacturing and Six Sigma: Behind the Mask* (pp. 77– 89).
- Schniederjans, D. G., Curado, C., & Khalajhedayati, M. (2020). Supply chain digitisation trends: An integration of knowledge management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 220, 107439.
- Sidik, S. N. A. M. (2019). Customer citizenship behaviour (CCB) among automobile online brand communities in Malaysia. Master's thesis.
- Tnay, A. A. X., Kuek, T. Y., Teoh, K. B., Peedikayil, A. V., Zaki, A. H. B. M., Jasri, A. I. B. A., & Kee, D. M. H. (2025). Factors influencing customer satisfaction: A case study of Perodua. *Advances in Global Economics and Business Journal*, 6(1), 17– 36.

- Wahab, S. N., Othman, N., Uzir, M. U. H., & Yanamandra, R. (2024). Revolutionizing operational excellence: Advancing the automotive industry through lean principles. *Environment-Behaviour Proceedings Journal*, 9(27), 273–279.
- Zahari, A. S. M., Halim, N. F. S., Baniamin, R. M. R., & Salleh, S. M. (2016). The key factors that lead to customer satisfaction: The case of Perodua service center. *Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences*, 6(8S), 17–23.