

ETHICAL ISSUES FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE UTILIZATION IN MALAYSIAN HIGHER EDUCATION

Zuriani Ahmad Zukarnain^{1*}
Noorihan Abdul Rahman@ Abdul Rashid ²
Nor Asma Mohd Zin³
Haikal Zahiruddin⁴

¹ Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kelantan Branch, 18500 Machang, Kelantan, Malaysia (E-mail: zurianiaz@uitm.edu.my)

² Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kelantan Branch, 18500 Machang, Kelantan, Malaysia (E-mail: noorihan@uitm.edu.my)

³ Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kelantan Branch, 18500 Machang, Kelantan, Malaysia (E-mail: norasma@uitm.edu.my)

⁴ Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kelantan Branch, 18500 Machang, Kelantan, Malaysia (E-mail: haikalz@uitm.edu.my)

*Corresponding author

Article history

Received date : 4-10-2025

Revised date : 5-10-2025

Accepted date : 27-10-2025

Published date : 5-11-2025

To cite this document:

Zahari, N. S., Ab Rani, N. Z. A., Che Hamzah, H., Abd Hadi, N. A., & Ramli, N. H. (2025). Ahmad Zukarnain, Z., Abdul Rahman@Abdul Rashid, N., Mohd Zin, N. A., & Zahiruddin, H. (2025). Ethical issues for artificial intelligence utilization in Malaysian higher education. *Journal of Islamic, Social, Economics and Development (JISED)*, 10 (78), 28 – 34.

Abstract: *Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly changing higher education in Malaysia, offering advanced solutions to enhance teaching, learning, and administrative processes. However, this technological change brings significant ethical challenges that must be addressed to ensure responsible and inclusive adoption. Key concerns issues include data privacy violations, academic dishonesty facilitated by AI-generated content, algorithmic bias, and unequal access to AI tools, particularly between urban and rural institutions. This paper critically examines these ethical issues through a conceptual and thematic review of existing literature, focusing on student and educator perceptions, institutional readiness, and gaps in governance. Findings shows a lack of comprehensive ethical frameworks, insufficient AI literacy, and limited policies to ensure transparency, fairness, and accountability. To address these challenges and issues, this study proposes the important development of a localized ethical framework that emphasizes inclusivity, data protection, and cultural sensitivity. By embedding these principles into national and institutional policies, Malaysian higher education can harness AI's transformative potential while safeguarding core academic values of integrity, equity, and trust.*

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, higher education, ethical framework*

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is becoming as a reshaping force in education, enabling advanced applications such as intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, automated grading, learning analytics, and personalized educational pathways. These technologies can imitate certain human brain functions, including problem-solving, reasoning, and decision-making, thereby transforming the way students learn, and educators teach. Across the globe, AI is increasingly integrated into higher education institutions to enhance efficiency, improve student engagement, and provide tailored learning experiences. In the Malaysian context, digital transformation education agenda is led by the initiatives such as the Malaysia Education Blueprint 2015–2025 and the MyDIGITAL framework, these initiatives has accelerated the integration of AI into universities and colleges. This transformation signals a shift toward data-driven, innovative, and technologically improvement in educational ecosystems.

Despite the potential of AI to promote the quality of higher education, its implementation is coming with trials. The adoption of AI technologies has raised a range of ethical issues that require urgent attention (Zhang, 2024). Among the most critical concerns are the protection of data privacy, the risk of algorithmic bias, the preservation of academic integrity, and ensuring fair access to AI technologies for students across different socioeconomic and geographic backgrounds especially in rural area. AI tools often rely on vast datasets, that may contain sensitive student information. Without proper safeguards, this raises problems regarding data misuse, unauthorized surveillance, and the lack of transparency in data handling. Furthermore, the misuse of AI for academic tasks such as the generation of essays, reports, or automated solutions can compromise intellectual honesty and reduce the development of critical thinking skills among students.

Another significant ethical concern lies in algorithmic bias, where AI systems trained on non-representative data can result in unfair recommendations. Additionally, the digital divide remains a significant issue in Malaysia, as students in rural or under-resourced institutions may have limited access to AI-powered learning platforms compared to their urban counterparts. AI implementation poses the potential of widening rather than reducing current inequality in education if proactive measures are neglected to address inclusion.

Another important point is the lack of institutional readiness and comprehensive policies governing the ethical use of AI. While universities are increasingly adopting AI tools for administrative and pedagogical purposes, there are lack of formal guidelines on data governance, accountability, and bias mitigation. Educators and students often have limited AI literacy, resulting in scepticism and mistrust toward AI-generated outcomes. To ensure that AI becomes an enabler of learning, universities must establish transparent and inclusive frameworks that prioritize integrity, fairness, trust, and cultural sensitivity.

This paper reports the investigation regarding the ethical issues surrounding AI implementation in Malaysian higher education, with a focus on understanding the perspectives of both students and educators. It also explores the institutional gaps in policy and governance that hinder responsible AI adoption. The study argues that while AI offers immense potential for improving educational outcomes, its deployment must be guided by well-defined ethical principles that align with Malaysia's cultural and educational values.

Literature Review

The literature on Artificial Intelligence (AI) in higher education has grown significantly in recent years, particularly in the context of its potential benefits and the ethical challenges it presents. This section explores the existing body of knowledge by focusing on five key themes relevant to Malaysian higher education including AI in education, student perceptions of AI tools, institutional readiness and ethical challenges, algorithmic bias and academic integrity, and frameworks for responsible AI implementation.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is redefining education globally by enabling personalized and data-driven teaching and learning practices. In higher education, AI-powered tools like adaptive learning platforms, chatbots, and automated grading systems are being widely deployed to improve efficiency, reduce administrative workload, and enhance student engagement (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Globally, AI has facilitated the shift toward 'smart campuses,' where predictive analytics help institutions identify at-risk students, optimize resources, and deliver tailored interventions.

In Malaysia, the adoption of AI in higher education is still in its early stages but is growing rapidly. National strategies such as the Malaysia Education Blueprint 2015–2025 (Higher Education) and MyDIGITAL emphasize digital innovation, with AI playing a crucial role in achieving Malaysia's vision of becoming a digitally advanced nation. Malaysian universities have started integrating AI for administrative automation, language learning platforms, plagiarism detection tools like Turnitin, and virtual tutors for personalized learning. Despite these advancements, many institutions are not equipped with clear ethical guidelines or governance structures to manage AI adoption. The lack of a national AI policy specific to education exacerbates concerns about privacy, fairness, and inclusivity.

Students Perceptions of AI Tools

Student's perceptions greatly influence the success of AI adoption. Mat Yusoff et al. (2025) found that Malaysian students generally appreciate AI tools for their ability to simplify academic tasks, provide instant feedback, and enhance personalization. For instance, AI-based learning management systems (LMS) can adapt content to individual learning styles, while grammar and language correction tools like Grammarly improve writing efficiency. However, students express scepticism regarding the reliability and authenticity of AI-generated content. Many are concerned about the possibility of receiving incorrect or biased recommendations from AI systems.

Another common issue highlighted in the literature is over-reliance on AI. Students may become dependent on AI-generated solutions, which can undermine the development of critical thinking, problem-solving, and creativity. Zainuddin et al. (2024) argue that without proper training in AI literacy, students may misuse tools like ChatGPT for plagiarism or superficial learning rather than using them as aids to get better understanding.

Additionally, there are disparities in students' access to AI tools due to the digital divide between urban and rural institutions. While students in metropolitan universities may have access to advanced AI-driven systems, those in less developed areas often face infrastructure limitations such as poor internet connectivity and lack of AI-supported platforms. This inequality further raises ethical concerns about fairness and equal opportunity in education.

Institutional Readiness and Ethical Challenges

Institutional readiness is a critical factor in determining the success of AI integration. While adopting AI tools, Malaysian universities face several challenges in ensuring ethical and responsible usage. Mohammad et al. (2025) reported that only a minority of institutions have formalized AI policies or ethical guidelines. Many universities lack clear data governance policies, which creates uncertainty about how student data is collected, stored, and utilized by AI systems.

Data privacy is among the most pressing concerns. AI technologies often process sensitive information such as student demographics, behavior patterns, and academic performance. Without proper safeguards, this data may be vulnerable to misuse or unauthorized access. Moreover, the implementation of AI systems, such as facial recognition for campus security or predictive analytics for student performance, has raised fears of surveillance and erosion of privacy rights.

Academic dishonesty is another institutional challenge. Tools like AI-generated content platforms make it easier for students to plagiarize or produce work that lacks originality. While plagiarism detection tools such as Turnitin have become more sophisticated, they are still limited in identifying AI-generated content, posing new challenges for maintaining academic integrity. Institutions need to implement ethics training programs for both educators and students to promote responsible AI usage.

Algorithmic Bias, Equity and Academic Integrity

Algorithmic bias is a major ethical concern when implementing AI in education. AI systems are only as good as the data they are trained on. If the datasets contain cultural or linguistic biases, the AI may produce outcomes that are unfair or discriminatory. For instance, essay grading systems trained on Western-centric content may undervalue responses written in a Malaysian context, leading to systemic disadvantages for local students (Saman et al., 2024).

Equity and inclusivity are closely tied to algorithmic bias. Rural students, students with disabilities, or those from minority linguistic backgrounds may face unequal opportunities when AI tools fail to account for diverse needs. Additionally, academic integrity is at risk due to the ease of generating automated content. While AI can enhance learning by providing instant feedback and resources, it also raises concerns about students outsourcing critical academic tasks to machines, which may erode intellectual accuracy.

Zainuddin et al. (2024) emphasize the need for bias audits and human oversight to ensure AI recommendations and outputs are fair and culturally appropriate. This aligns with global best practices where institutions regularly evaluate AI tools to prevent discrimination and uphold educational values.

Framework for Responsible AI Implementation

Several scholars have proposed ethical frameworks for AI implementation in education. Jodi et al. (2025) advocate for a four-pillar model consisting of transparency, fairness, accountability, and inclusiveness. This model emphasizes open communication about how AI systems collect and use data, ensuring that algorithms are free from discriminatory biases, and establishing accountability mechanisms through institutional audits and ethics committees.

Chan (2023) offers a more comprehensive approach, known as the AI Ecological Education Policy Framework, which combines pedagogical, governance, and operational dimensions. This model stresses the need for ongoing professional development for educators, ethical review boards for AI tools, and continuous evaluation of AI systems for both technical and ethical compliance.

For Malaysia, a hybrid model incorporating both global best practices and local cultural values is necessary. Any proposed framework should prioritize AI literacy programs, which equip educators and students with the knowledge to use AI responsibly. It should also include national-level policies that bridge the digital divide, enforce data privacy regulations, and encourage collaboration between government agencies, universities, and AI developers.

Methodology

This study adopts a conceptual and thematic analysis approach to explore the ethical challenges of Artificial Intelligence (AI) implementation in Malaysian higher education. Instead of collecting primary data, this paper relies on secondary sources such as peer-reviewed journal articles, reports, and documented case studies to examine key ethical concerns, including data privacy, algorithmic bias, and academic integrity.

A systematic literature analysis was conducted to identify relevant academic publications from 2019 to 2025. Databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus, SpringerLink, and ResearchGate were utilized to gather high-quality sources. Keywords and search terms included “*AI in Malaysian education*,” “*ethical issues artificial intelligence in universities*,” “*student perception AI Malaysia*,” and “*AI privacy bias education*.”

To ensure reliability and validity, only peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and reputable studies focusing on Malaysian contexts were selected. The search results were filtered by publication date, prioritizing the latest studies to capture emerging trends and current ethical debates. A total of 30 articles were reviewed, with at least one study focusing on student perspectives, and another on institutional or administrative challenges.

The data analysis was performed through thematic categorization, identifying recurring patterns and issues related to ethical concerns, institutional readiness, and frameworks for responsible AI implementation. This approach enabled a critical synthesis of literature and allowed the formulation of recommendations tailored to the Malaysian higher education context.

Discussion

The ethical implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Malaysian higher education is complex. While AI technologies hold the potential to revolutionize educational delivery, increase efficiency, and personalize learning experiences, this paper’s analysis reveals a variety of ethical challenges that demand immediate attention. These include data privacy risks, algorithmic bias, academic dishonesty, unequal access, and a lack of institutional readiness.

The literature shows that actual, rather than theoretical, ethical risks are emerging in Malaysian universities. For instance, institutions are collecting increasingly large volumes of student data through AI-powered platforms, yet many lack transparent mechanisms to inform students of how their data is being used, stored, or shared. This lack of transparency, as highlighted by Mohammad et al. (2025), is exacerbating distrust among students and educators. It also

challenges core ethical values in education. particularly the principles of informed consent and data stewardship.

Similarly, while AI tools can support learning, they also introduce new threats to academic integrity. Tools such as ChatGPT, Grammarly, and other content generators enable students to produce assignment submissions with minimal effort, raising the risk of plagiarism and intellectual disengagement. Zainuddin et al. (2024) warn that the misuse of such tools can diminish critical thinking and learning foundations of higher education. Without ethical training and policy enforcement, both educators and students are left to navigate these technologies without clear boundaries.

From an institutional perspective, the lack of policy frameworks and AI literacy among faculty hinders responsible adoption. Many universities are deploying AI systems without formal ethical audits, educator training, or contingency plans for bias mitigation. This is concerning, as algorithmic outputs may disadvantage students from minority backgrounds or underrepresented groups if the data used to train the systems is biased. The implications are especially serious in Malaysia's multicultural society, where inclusivity must be a guiding principle of AI design and deployment.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has the potential to revolutionise higher education in Malaysia, offering unprecedented opportunities to enhance teaching, learning, and administrative processes. However, this study highlights that the rapid adoption of AI technologies has outpaced the development of robust ethical frameworks, creating significant challenges related to data privacy, academic integrity, algorithmic bias, and equitable access.

Addressing these ethical issues requires a multi-dimensional and collaborative approach. Institutions must adopt localized ethical frameworks that prioritize transparency in data use, fairness in algorithmic processes, accountability for AI-driven decisions, and inclusivity across diverse student groups. These frameworks should be tailored to Malaysia's unique cultural and educational landscape.

References

- Chan, C. K. Y. (2023). A comprehensive AI policy education framework for university teaching and learning. *International journal of educational technology in higher education*, 20(1), 38.
- Ganaprakasam, C., Chanthiran, M., & Hashim, H. (2024). Integrating AI into Malaysian School Counselling: A Study on Opportunities, Challenges, and Ethics. *Journal of Contemporary Issues and Thought*, 14(2), 1–8.
- Jodi, K. H. M., Zulfadli, M., Azizan, A. S. M., Che Husain, F., & Haridi, N. H. M. (2025). Ethical Framework for Artificial Intelligence in Professional Practices of Higher Education Lecturers: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Education, Psychology and Counseling*, 10(57), 194–212.
- Kim, Y., Blazquez, V., & Oh, T. (2024). Determinants of Generative AI system adoption and usage behavior in Korean Companies: Applying the UTAUT model. *Behavioral Science*, 14(10).
- Lal Poudel, R., & Kanta Bastakoti, C. (2024). Impact of behavioural intention to use generative artificial intelligence on academic performance of students in higher education institutions. *Prithvi Journal of Research and Inovation*, 6, 1–22.
- Leong, W. Y., & Zhang, J. B. (2025). Ethical design of AI for education and learning systems. *ASM Science Journal*, 20(1), 1-9.
- Lim, T., Gottipati, S., & Cheong, M. L. (2023). Ethical Considerations for Artificial Intelligence in Educational Assessments. In *Creative AI Tools and Ethical Implications in Teaching and Learning*. 32–79.
- Mat Yusoff, S., Mohamad Marzaini, A. F., Hao, L., Zainuddin, Z., & Basal, M. H. (2025). Understanding the role of AI in Malaysian higher education curricula: An analysis of student perceptions. *Discover Computing*, 28(62).
- Mohammad, N. H., Norena, Mastura Roni, Nur, S., Siti Fairuz Nurr Sadikan, & Sulaiman Mahzan. (2025). Navigating AI Ethics in Malaysian Universities: Addressing Privacy, Integrity, and Bias. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, IX(I), 2451–2465.
- Saman, H. M., Noor, S. M., Isa, C. M. M., Lian, O. C., & Narayanan, G. (2024). Embracing Artificial Intelligence as a Catalyst for Change in Reshaping Malaysian Higher Education in the Digital Era: A Literature Review. In *International Conference on Innovation & Entrepreneurship in Computing, Engineering & Science Education (InvENT 2024)*. 633-643.
- Wu, Q., Tian, J., & Liu, Z. (2025). Exploring the usage behavior of Generative Artificial intelligence: a case study of CHATGPT with insights into the moderating effects of habit and personal innovativeness. *Current Psychology*, 44, 8190–8203.
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 39.
- Zainuddin, N., Suhaimi, N. A., Jaffar, M. N., Norwawi, N. M., Sahrir, M. S., Daud, W. A. A. W., & Ghani, M. T. A. (2024). Responsible and Ethical Use of Artificial Intelligence in Language Education: A Systematic Review.
- Zhang Jinwei. (2024). A study of undergraduates' behavioral intention to use Generative AI Tools—Ernie Bot as an example. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management*, 41, 269–276.