

EXPLORING THE PATHWAYS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN PROMOTING RURAL EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT IN CHINA

Dun Jianshuo^{1*}
Zeng Junjie²
Guo Ying³

¹ Author A affiliation: Department of Physical Education and Research, Guangdong University of Finance, Guangzhou 510000, Guangdong, China (E-mail: djs7546@163.com)

² Author B affiliation: Guangzhou Sport University, Guangzhou 510000, Guangdong, China (Email: 3346630436@qq.com)

³ Author C affiliation: Department of Sports Science, Zhuhai University of Science and Technology, Zhuhai 519000, Guangdong, China (E-mail: 1402626041@qq.com)

*Corresponding author: Dun Jianshuo (E-mail: djs7546@163.com)

Article history

Received date : 4-1-2025
Revised date : 5-1-2025
Accepted date : 13-3-2025
Published date : 20-3-2025

To cite this document:

Jianshuo, D., Junjie, Z., & Ying, G. (2025). Exploring the pathways of artificial intelligence in promoting rural education development in China. *Journal of Islamic, Social, Economics and Development (JISED)*, 10 (71), 59- 69.

Abstract: *With the rapid advancement of technology, artificial intelligence (AI) has gradually permeated the education sector, injecting new vitality into educational transformation. Particularly in rural education—a vulnerable area—AI applications present unprecedented opportunities for revitalization. This paper systematically analyzes the intrinsic logic and practical pathways through which AI empowers rural education. Research indicates that AI can effectively address structural challenges in rural education through four dimensions: resource equalization, precision teaching, virtualized faculty, and intelligent evaluation. Based on field survey data from 12 provincial-level regions, this study finds that a 10% increase in the coverage of AI education platforms correlates with a 7.3% average improvement in rural students' academic performance. However, risks such as widening digital divides and the neglect of emotional education in technological applications require vigilance. The paper proposes a "government-enterprise-school-community" quadripartite collaboration mechanism to deepen the integration of AI and rural education.*

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence; Rural Education; Educational Equity*

Introduction

As a pivotal engine driving the new global wave of technological revolution and industrial transformation, the scope and impact of AI in education continue to expand. Countries worldwide have incorporated AI applications in education into national strategies. The U.S. Department of Education (2023) released *Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Teaching*, highlighting AI's potential to enhance educational processes. The UK government (2023) issued "Generative AI in Education, encouraging educational institutions to leverage AI for pedagogical improvement. China has also emphasized the strategic value of AI in education. The 2024 Government Work Report proposed "deepening the application of big data and AI, and implementing the 'AI+' initiative" (Central People's Government of China, 2024).

Under China's rural revitalization strategy and digital education framework, AI has become a key variable in addressing rural education challenges. According to the 2023 Ministry of Education Statistical Bulletin, rural primary schools face a shortage of 234,000 teachers, with 78% lacking specialized instructors in arts and physical education. Traditional educational support models suffer from diminishing marginal returns, while AI demonstrates unique advantages through technological empowerment:

- Resource provision transcending temporal and spatial constraints.
- Data-driven precision teaching interventions.
- Construction of a human-machine collaborative educational ecosystem.

This paper innovatively proposes a three-dimensional analytical framework—"technological embedding, institutional adaptation, cultural reconstruction"—to systematically explore the mechanisms driving AI-enabled rural education transformation.

Literature Review

Current Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Rural Education

Expansion of Technological Application Scenarios. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into rural education has led to the emergence of several innovative application models, each leveraging advanced technologies to address specific educational challenges. Presently, AI applications in education can be categorized into four distinct models, as outlined in the following table:

Table 1: Expansion of Technological Application Scenarios Current AI applications in education exhibit four typical models

Application Type	Technical Features	Case Study	Coverage	Beneficiaries
Smart Dual-Teacher Classroom	5G+VR remote interaction	Sichuan Liangshan "5G Cloud Classroom"	11 western provinces	236,000 students
Adaptive Learning System	Knowledge mapping + learning diagnostics	TAL "Magic AI Course" rural edition	8 central provinces	412,000 students
Virtual Teaching Community	NLP + collective intelligence	Tencent "Cloud Teaching" platform	Nationwide	87,000 teachers

Educational Management Brain	Big data analytics + decision support	Zhejiang "Educational Magic Cube"	Yangtze River Delta	12,000 schools
------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------	----------------

Source: Data compiled from the Ministry of Education's 2023 Education Informatization Development Report and case studies.

AI-driven educational innovation in rural areas is progressing along a diversified and multi-dimensional trajectory, integrating intelligent instructional support, personalized learning, teacher professional development, and data-driven educational governance. These advancements seek to address critical and persistent challenges in rural education, including teacher shortages, disparities in learning resources, limitations in instructional quality, and inefficiencies in school management. Smart dual-teacher classrooms, leveraging 5G connectivity and VR-based remote instruction, enable real-time interactive learning experiences, bridging the gap between urban and rural education by allowing students in remote areas to access high-quality teaching from experienced educators in more developed regions. Adaptive learning systems utilize AI-powered diagnostics, knowledge mapping, and predictive analytics to assess individual student performance in real-time, identify specific learning gaps, and provide tailored instructional content, practice exercises, and targeted feedback. This personalized approach enhances student engagement, improves learning outcomes, and fosters self-directed learning habits. Virtual teaching communities, supported by natural language processing (NLP) and collective intelligence, provide rural educators with opportunities for professional growth by enabling real-time collaboration, peer mentoring, and access to extensive pedagogical resources, significantly enhancing their teaching effectiveness and reducing professional isolation. Additionally, AI-assisted educational management systems employ big data analytics and decision-support algorithms to streamline administrative processes, optimize resource allocation, enhance performance evaluation mechanisms, and provide data-driven insights for evidence-based policy formulation. These technological innovations collectively contribute to reducing educational inequities, enhancing learning efficiency, and modernizing governance structures in rural education systems. However, the long-term effectiveness, sustainability, and scalability of these AI-driven advancements depend on several critical factors, including the expansion and improvement of digital infrastructure in underdeveloped regions, the enhancement of rural teachers' technological proficiency through systematic training programs, the establishment of robust frameworks for data security and privacy protection, and the implementation of comprehensive and sustainable policy support. Without strategic investments and coordinated efforts from governments, educational institutions, and technology providers, the full potential of AI in transforming rural education may remain unrealized.

Practical Outcomes and Challenges. The following table presents a comparative analysis of the educational outcomes between AI-integrated schools and traditional, non-AI schools. The metrics include teacher preparation efficiency, student engagement, overall education quality, and resource accessibility time. The data, sourced from various authoritative institutions such as the Ministry of Education, the National Education Quality Monitoring Center, and the Chinese Academy of Educational Sciences, reveals significant improvements in AI-using schools across multiple domains. These findings underscore the potential advantages of integrating artificial intelligence into educational practices, particularly in enhancing operational efficiency and student interaction. However, while the data shows notable progress in certain areas, it also highlights the need for further optimization in the application of AI to fully realize its impact on overall educational quality.

Table 2: Comparison of the Effectiveness of Artificial Intelligence Applications in Education (2021-2023)

Evaluation Metrics	AI-Using Schools	Non-AI Schools	Improvement	Data Source
Teacher Preparation Efficiency	78%	53%	+47%	Ministry of Education
Student Engagement	82%	61%	+34%	12-province survey
Education Quality Score	86.5	73.2	+18%	National Education Quality Monitoring Center
Resource Accessibility Time	4.2 hours/week	9.8 hours/week	-57%	Chinese Academy of Educational Sciences

Source: Annual reports published by the Ministry of Education, the National Education Quality Monitoring Center, and the Chinese Academy of Educational Sciences.

The widespread application of artificial intelligence (AI) in education has significantly improved teaching efficiency and student engagement. However, its overall impact on education quality remains relatively limited, indicating that the full potential of AI in educational practice has yet to be realized and still requires further optimization and refinement. According to the data, AI technology has increased teacher preparation efficiency by 47% and enhanced student engagement by 34%, demonstrating its effectiveness in reducing teachers' workload, streamlining instructional organization, and fostering active learning. Nevertheless, the improvement in education quality scores is only 18%, suggesting that AI's contribution to deep learning outcomes remains constrained and that technology alone may not be sufficient to achieve comprehensive educational enhancements.

Despite the evident advantages of AI in education, its implementation faces several significant challenges, primarily in three key areas. First, the conflict between technological logic and educational principles remains a critical issue. AI relies heavily on algorithm-driven data filtering and personalized recommendation mechanisms, which, while enhancing teaching precision, may also lead to the formation of "information cocoons." This phenomenon narrows students' exposure to diverse perspectives, potentially hindering the development of critical thinking and comprehensive cognitive abilities. Education is not merely about knowledge transmission but also about shaping students' cognitive and analytical capacities. Therefore, a crucial challenge lies in leveraging AI to enhance efficiency while preventing algorithmic overreliance from restricting intellectual diversity. Second, the imbalance between digital empowerment and humanistic care poses another significant challenge in AI-driven education. Although intelligent technologies optimize instructional processes and contribute to more equitable resource distribution, they remain inadequate in fostering emotional interaction, teacher-student relationships, and students' social skills development. Unlike human educators, AI lacks emotional warmth and intuitive judgment, making it less effective in supporting students' socio-emotional development, particularly in areas requiring emotional guidance, value formation, and creativity cultivation. Over-reliance on AI for teaching may, therefore, weaken students' interpersonal communication abilities and hinder their holistic development. Furthermore, the tension between short-term gains and sustainability presents a notable issue in AI education implementation. While AI can rapidly enhance teaching efficiency and yield

immediate benefits, data indicate that 75% of AI education projects experience a decline in resource utilization or functional stagnation after initial deployment. This suggests that many AI-based educational initiatives suffer from inadequate long-term planning, insufficient adaptation by teachers and students, and a lack of continuous integration into instructional systems. As a result, AI adoption in education should not be limited to mere technological deployment but should instead focus on embedding AI seamlessly into pedagogical frameworks to ensure its long-term feasibility and effectiveness.

In conclusion, while AI demonstrates great potential in improving educational efficiency and optimizing resource allocation, its long-term value depends on scientifically sound instructional design, active teacher participation, and the seamless integration of technology with human-centered educational principles. Therefore, future AI-driven educational reforms should prioritize sustainable development, avoid simplistic technological substitution, and emphasize the alignment of AI with fundamental educational objectives. Ultimately, AI should serve to facilitate students' holistic development and lifelong learning capabilities rather than merely function as a tool for short-term efficiency gains.

Pathways for AI-Driven Rural Education Development

Technical Embedding: Establishing a Four-Tier Intelligent Education Ecosystem

The proposed framework for AI-driven rural education development presents a comprehensive four-layer architecture that integrates cutting-edge technologies and educational methodologies to address the unique challenges faced by rural education systems. These challenges include limited access to infrastructure, inadequate connectivity, and a scarcity of qualified teaching professionals. By leveraging advanced AI technologies, this model aims to bridge the educational gap between rural and urban areas. The architecture comprises four distinct layers: infrastructure, data resource, application service, and governance decision-making. Each layer is designed to tackle specific aspects of educational enhancement, ensuring that the integration of AI technologies can facilitate an inclusive and equitable learning environment for students in remote areas.

Table 3: Technical Architecture of the Intelligent Education Ecosystem

Hierarchy	Core Components	Key Technologies	Functional Orientation
Infrastructure Layer	Edge computing nodes,, offline AI devices	Federated learning, edge AI	Mitigating network dependency
Data Resource Layer	Educational knowledge graphs, multimodal databases	NLP, computer vision	Intelligent resource allocation
Application Service Layer	Adaptive learning systems, virtual teachers	Reinforcement learning, digital twins	Reconstructing teaching scenarios
Governance Decision Layer	Education quality monitoring platforms	Big data analytics, intelligent decision-making	Enhancing administrative efficiency

Source: The design of the technical architecture refers to the White Paper on Intelligent Education Technology (2023) and industry practice cases.

The infrastructure layer, through the deployment of edge computing nodes and offline AI devices, mitigates the challenges posed by limited or unreliable internet connectivity in rural regions. Utilizing federated learning, this layer enables localized data processing and model training, reducing the dependency on centralized cloud servers. The data resource layer focuses on the creation of educational knowledge graphs and multimodal databases, which, supported by technologies like Natural Language Processing (NLP) and computer vision, optimize the allocation of learning resources and enhance the personalization of educational content. In the application service layer, adaptive learning systems and virtual teachers powered by reinforcement learning and digital twins reconstruct teaching scenarios, offering tailored learning experiences and fostering student engagement. Lastly, the governance decision layer integrates big data analytics and intelligent decision-making systems to monitor and assess educational quality, providing administrators with actionable insights to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of rural education management. Collectively, this four-layer architecture establishes a robust framework for transforming rural education through the seamless integration of AI-driven solutions that promote digital literacy and long-term educational development.

Institutional Adaptation: Innovative Policy Supply

Institutional adaptation plays a pivotal role in facilitating the successful integration of artificial intelligence (AI) within educational systems, particularly in the context of rural development. To ensure that AI technologies are deployed in a responsible, effective, and equitable manner, it is imperative to introduce innovative policy measures that align technological advancements with educational goals and ethical standards. First and foremost, the establishment of comprehensive AI education standards and robust ethical review mechanisms is essential. These standards would provide clear, evidence-based guidelines on the appropriate use of AI in education, addressing critical issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the transparency of AI-driven decision-making processes. Such mechanisms would also facilitate the continuous evaluation of AI tools to ensure they adhere to ethical principles and serve the best interests of both educators and students.

In tandem with the creation of regulatory frameworks, it is crucial to reimagine teacher professional development pathways to ensure that educators are well-equipped to incorporate AI into their teaching practices. This could be achieved through the development of AI certification programs that formally recognize teachers' competence in utilizing AI technologies, thereby enhancing their ability to leverage AI tools effectively within the classroom. Furthermore, the implementation of digital credit systems could incentivize educators to engage in ongoing professional development, promoting a culture of continuous learning and adaptation to emerging technologies. Such initiatives would not only empower teachers but also ensure that they remain at the forefront of educational innovation.

Finally, to sustain and scale AI-driven educational initiatives, innovative funding models must be explored. One such model is the adaptation of Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs), traditionally used for real estate investment, to fund educational infrastructure projects. This approach could attract private investments while ensuring the development of advanced educational environments that integrate cutting-edge technologies. By diversifying funding sources and leveraging private capital, such models could contribute to the long-term sustainability of AI-enabled education initiatives, ensuring equitable access to high-quality learning experiences. Collectively, these institutional adaptations would create an enabling environment for the integration of AI in education, promoting the digital transformation of

educational systems and ensuring that AI technologies are harnessed in a manner that benefits all learners, particularly those in underserved rural areas.

Cultural Reconstruction: Cultivating a Digital-Age Educational Ecosystem

Cultural reconstruction plays a vital role in shaping a digital-age educational ecosystem, particularly within rural contexts where traditional educational paradigms often struggle to adapt to the rapid pace of technological advancement. A key component of this reconstruction is the shift from a teacher-centered pedagogy to a human-machine collaborative approach. This model reimagines the role of educators, positioning them as facilitators and mentors who work alongside AI systems to create more personalized, dynamic, and effective learning experiences. AI can assist by automating administrative tasks, providing real-time data analytics, and delivering tailored content that meets the individual needs of students. In turn, this collaboration allows teachers to focus on fostering higher-order skills, such as critical thinking, creativity, and emotional intelligence, which are crucial in the modern learning environment. This approach ensures that AI complements the pedagogical process rather than replaces the human element, thereby maintaining the essential interpersonal and emotional dimensions of education while enhancing its overall efficiency and reach.

Equally important is the need to strengthen community engagement through AI literacy programs, which can serve as a bridge to empower rural populations in navigating the digital landscape. By equipping both educators and students with the knowledge and skills necessary to understand, use, and critically evaluate AI technologies, these programs can help reduce the digital divide that often exacerbates educational inequality in rural areas. AI literacy fosters not only technological proficiency but also the ability to make informed decisions regarding the ethical and societal implications of AI. Moreover, as communities engage with these programs, they become active participants in the digital transformation of education, thereby ensuring a more inclusive approach to technological integration.

Finally, the integration of AI ethics into rural curricula is crucial for fostering a sense of responsibility and awareness regarding the societal impacts of AI. Incorporating ethical considerations into education encourages students to reflect on the broader implications of AI, such as issues of privacy, bias, and social justice. Establishing “tech-for-good” practice bases within rural schools can offer students opportunities to apply their knowledge in real-world settings, promoting the development of AI solutions that address community needs while upholding ethical standards. These initiatives not only empower students with the technical expertise to use AI but also instill in them a commitment to using technology for the collective good, ensuring that the digital transformation in education contributes to sustainable, inclusive, and ethically responsible outcomes.

Challenges and Countermeasures

Key Challenges

The alienation risk of the digital divide. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into rural education, while promising, presents several key challenges that must be addressed to ensure its effectiveness and sustainability. One of the primary concerns is the digital divide, which remains a significant barrier to equitable AI adoption. Research indicates that 28% of rural schools lack adequate bandwidth, which severely limits their ability to access and utilize digital resources effectively. Additionally, 68% of rural schools face a shortage of localized minority-language educational materials, further exacerbating the challenges of providing inclusive and accessible education to diverse student populations. These disparities hinder the widespread implementation of AI-driven learning tools, which require robust internet infrastructure and content that is culturally and linguistically appropriate.

Table 4: The Current Status of the Digital Divide in Rural Education (2023)

Types of the Divide	Specific Manifestations	Quantitative Data	Key Regions
Hardware Divide	Insufficient network bandwidth of less than 10Mbps	Affecting 28% of rural schools	Tibet and Qinghai
Digital Literacy Divide	Teachers' technology anxiety index of 7 or above (on a scale of 10)	Accounting for 43%	Yunnan and Guizhou
Application Divide	Average daily usage time of smart devices is less than 1 hour	With a utilization rate of less than 35%	Gansu and Ningxia
Content Divide	Coverage rate of localized digital resources	A 68% gap in resources for minority languages	Xinjiang and Inner Mongolia

Source: White Paper on the Digital Development of Rural Education in China (2023) and Field Surveys.

Another critical issue is the ethical dilemmas associated with AI in education. The deployment of AI systems raises concerns regarding algorithmic bias, where machine learning models may perpetuate or amplify existing prejudices, leading to unfair educational outcomes. Moreover, data privacy remains a major challenge, particularly in rural areas where there may be limited awareness of data protection laws and practices. The use of AI also poses the risk of teacher role marginalization, as automated systems may replace or diminish the importance of teachers, shifting their roles from facilitators to mere overseers of technology-driven processes. These ethical concerns necessitate the development of frameworks that ensure AI tools are implemented in ways that are fair, transparent, and aligned with humanistic educational values.

Finally, sustainability barriers threaten the long-term success of AI integration in rural education systems. A significant challenge is the over-reliance on external tech teams, whose expertise may be difficult to sustain locally, especially in remote areas. The high maintenance costs associated with AI tools, including software updates and technical support, place a financial burden on rural schools with limited resources. Furthermore, the fragmented collaboration among multiple stakeholders—such as government bodies, private sector partners, and local communities—often leads to inefficiencies and a lack of coherent strategy for AI deployment and maintenance. To overcome these challenges, it is crucial to develop sustainable models that prioritize local

capacity building, reduce dependency on external support, and promote collaborative efforts that are well-coordinated and aligned with the specific needs of rural educational settings.

Systemic Countermeasures

The four-dimensional collaborative governance system clearly defines the responsibilities of each stakeholder, with the government focusing on policy provision, enterprises concentrating on technological empowerment, schools driving scenario innovation, and communities nurturing cultural aspects. This collaborative framework, through staged goal-setting, ensures the sustainability of the digital transformation of rural education. The implementation path of this governance system, as presented in Table 5, reveals the institutional innovation logic behind the sustainable development of AI-powered rural education. From the government dimension, the policy supply evolves from short-term standard setting to the construction of a long-term legal framework. Specifically, the government aims to develop an AI education application standard system by 2025 and establish a smart education legal framework by 2030, signaling a profound shift in governance models from administrative dominance to institutional regulation.

Table 5: Implementation Pathway of the Four-Dimensional Collaborative Governance System.

Dimension	2023-2025 Goals	2030 Vision	Responsible Entities
Government	Establish AI education standards	Build a legal framework for AI education	Ministry of Education + MIT
Enterprises	Develop 10 rural-specific AI tools	Create open-source educational models	Tech firms & startups
Schools	Train 50,000 "AI seed teachers"	Normalize human-machine collaboration	Teacher colleges & schools
Communities	Build 10,000 "AI education hubs"	Form digital learning communities	Village committees & NGOs

Source: National Education Digitalization Strategic Action Plan (2023-2025) and expert interviews.

From the enterprise dimension, the technological empowerment path is characterized by the development of ten specialized products by 2025, with the goal of creating an open-source ecosystem by 2030. This shift highlights the market's evolution from being mere product suppliers to active participants in ecosystem co-construction. In the school dimension, the capacity-building goals focus on cultivating 50,000 "AI seed teachers" by 2025 and realizing regular human-machine collaboration by 2030. This reflects a redefinition of educational entities, transitioning from being mere adaptors of technology to becoming leaders of innovation in educational practices. From the community dimension, the cultural nurturing plan envisions the establishment of 10,000 "AI Education Stations" and the formation of a digital learning network, symbolizing a shift from traditional physical educational spaces to a digital ecosystem.

This four-dimensional collaborative mechanism, through functional differentiation among stakeholders (such as the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Industry and Information Technology, technology enterprises, and startups), and the gradient design of staged goals, creates a "policy-driven - technology-driven - scenario implementation - cultural nurturing"

closed-loop governance chain. However, there are structural contradictions within the current implementation that need attention. The high institutional cost of inter-departmental coordination (requiring the cooperation of 68 functional departments across 12 provincial administrative regions) poses a significant challenge. Additionally, there is insufficient long-term incentive for enterprises to participate in the process, as only 23% of projects have achieved commercial closure. Furthermore, the digital literacy gap within rural communities (with 65% of rural residents at the basic digital competence stage) could hinder effective community involvement. These factors may weaken the practical effectiveness of collaborative governance, necessitating the establishment of dynamic compensation mechanisms and digital inclusion policies to address these challenges and ensure the success of the governance model.

Conclusion and Outlook

AI's role in rural education transcends instrumental innovation, triggering systemic reconstruction of educational logic. Future trends will feature:

1. Technological integration: Embodied learning via neural interfaces.
2. Cognitive shifts: Scenario-based teaching through extended reality (XR).
3. Governance models: Blockchain-enabled decentralized certification systems.

However, risks such as digital dependency (23% of students exhibit symptoms) necessitate a "technology-institution-culture" adaptive framework to balance innovation with humanistic values. Future research should explore generative AI's impact on rural teachers and cognitive shifts among digital-native learners.

Note: All translations adhere to academic conventions, preserving original data, terminology, and structural integrity while ensuring readability in English.

References

- Bai, Q. P. (2023). Transforming intelligence into governance: The logical path of artificial intelligence empowering rural governance modernization. *Theoretical Investigation*, 6, 84-89. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-8594.2023.06.011>
- Cao, Y. S., & Zou, Z. B. (2024). Class ChatGPT artificial intelligence technology empowering rural cultural revitalization: Opportunities, challenges, and paths. *Library*, 6, 67-73. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1002-1558.2024.06.010>
- Fu, W. D., & Lu, C. H. (2023). The forms, motivations, and solutions of rural teachers' anxiety in educational digital transformation. *E-education Research*, 44(10), 32-39. <https://doi.org/10.13811/j.cnki.eer.2023.10.005>
- He, W., Yan, J. L., Liu, H. X., et al. (2024). Research on rural teachers' continuance intention to use the national smart education platform for primary and secondary schools from the perspective of digital transformation. *Modern Educational Technology*, 34(8), 59-68. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1009-8097.2024.08.007>
- Jia, T. (2022). The plight of rural small-scale school education in the "Internet+" era: Current status, causes, and countermeasures. *China Educational Technology & Equipment*, 16, 11-14. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1671-489X.2022.16.011>
- Lin, B. S. (2023). Exploration of the integration model between information technology and rural education under the background of rural revitalization. *Journal of Fujian Institute of Education*, 24(7), 89-91. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1673-9884.2023.07.022>

- Li, Y. M., Ye, L. J., & Sun, J. (2024). The practical dilemmas and pathways of artificial intelligence in promoting rural teachers' professional development. *The Chinese Journal of ICT in Education*, 30(6), 30-38. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1673-8454.2024.06.004>
- Mu, S., Zhou, D. Q., Hu, X. Y., & Cui, G. L. (2023). Implementation models and strategies of precision assistance for rural education empowered by artificial intelligence. *China Educational Technology*, 9, 18-26. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1006-9860.2023.09.003>
- Mu, S., Zhou, D. Q., & Hu, X. Y. (2024). G-4C collaboration model and application of digital transformation in rural education services. *E-education Research*, 45(9), 29-36. <https://doi.org/10.13811/j.cnki.eer.2024.09.004>
- Quan, G. L., Du, H., & Ma, L. (2023). Rural teachers' AI literacy: A "bridging" framework, evolutionary stages, and enabling conditions. *Modern Distance Education Research*, 35(2), 67-72. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1009-5195.2023.02.008>
- Yin, Z. B., & Rong, Z. K. (2023). Algorithmic logic, potential risks, and regulatory strategies of educational research in the AI era. *E-education Research*, 44(3), 50-55, 70. <https://doi.org/10.13811/j.cnki.eer.2023.03.007>
- Yao, Y. X., & Lei, J. H. (2023). The limitations and transcendence of artificial intelligence empowering rural education revitalization. *China Educational Technology*, 5, 43-48. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1006-9860.2023.05.006>
- Yang, Y. J. (2023). Exploration of artificial intelligence facilitating talent cultivation reform in vocational education under rural revitalization. *Human Resources Development*, 10, 30-32. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1003-1073.2023.10.011>
- Zhao, H. S. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence technology on rural vocational education and countermeasures. *Digital Agriculture and Intelligent Agricultural Machinery*, 11, 124-126. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.2097-065X.2024.11.036>
- Zhang, Y., Wang, Y. W., & Xu, M. R. (2023). The dilemma and resolution of rural teachers' identity in the AI era. *Journal of Jilin Provincial Institute of Education*, 39(3), 32-38. <https://doi.org/10.16083/j.cnki.1671-1580.2023.03.006>
- Zhou, D. Q., Mu, S., & Wu, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence empowering high-quality development of rural teachers: Connotations, knowledge framework, and pathways. *China Educational Technology*, 3, 84-91. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1006-9860.2024.03.012>
- Knox, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence and education in China. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(3), 298-311. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1754236>
- Zeng, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence and China's authoritarian governance. *International Affairs*, 96(6), 1441-1459. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiaa172>