

UNDERSTANDING THE DRIVERS OF VOLUNTARY TURNOVER AND ITS EFFECT ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE: A LITERATURE REVIEW

Abidah Saad^{1*}
Suhaida Ishak²
Nor Asiah Mahmood³
Muhammad Ridzuan Abdul Aziz⁴
NurulAin Zamhury⁵
Kartina Ahmad⁶

^{*1} Fakulti Pengurusan Perniagaan, Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Kampus Sungai Petani, 08400 Merbok, Kedah, Malaysia (Email: abidahsaad@uitm.edu.my)

²Faculty of Business, Information & Human Sciences, Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur, Selangor, Malaysia. (Email: suhaida@iukl.edu.my)

³College of Business Administration, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (Email: nmahmood@psu.edu.sa)

⁴Faculty of Business and management, UiTM Cawangan Selangor (Email: ridzuandba@uitm.edu.my)

⁵Faculty Sains Pentadbiran dan Pengajian Polisi, Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Kampus Sungai Petani, 08400 Merbok, Kedah, Malaysia (Email: nurulaini0999@uitm.edu.my)

⁶Lang Lebah Department, PTTTEP Sarawak Oil (Email: kartina@pttep.com)

Article history

Received date : 17-2-2025
Revised date : 18-2-2025
Accepted date : 9-3-2025
Published date : 11-3-2025

To cite this document:

Saad, A., Ishak, S., Mahmood, N. A., Abdul Aziz, M. R., Zamhury, N., & Ahmad, K. (2025). Understanding the drivers of voluntary turnover and its effect on employee performance: A literature review. *Journal of Islamic, Social, Economics and Development (JISED)*, 10 (71), 11- 27.

Abstract: *This literature review examines the multifaceted impact of voluntary turnover on employee and organizational performance. Voluntary turnover, often driven by factors such as job dissatisfaction, inadequate growth opportunities, and external job offers, can significantly disrupt both individual productivity and overall team dynamics. Key findings reveal that voluntary turnover not only leads to immediate costs, including recruitment and training, but also to long-term effects such as decreased morale, loss of organizational knowledge, and weakened social capital within teams. The review highlights various models explaining turnover intention and its cascading effects on performance metrics, emphasizing the need for strategic interventions. Effective retention strategies such as competitive compensation, career development programs, and supportive leadership are essential for mitigating the adverse effects of turnover. The study calls for further empirical research to explore sector-specific turnover impacts and refine approaches that enhance employee engagement and organizational resilience.*

Keywords: *Turnover intention, Employee Performance, Organisational Performance, Voluntary Turnover, Recruitment.*

Introduction

Voluntary turnover, where employees choose to leave an organization, has significant consequences for both individual and organizational performance. Organizations must understand the drivers of voluntary turnover to mitigate its negative effects, such as disruptions in team dynamics, increased recruitment and training costs, and decreased productivity (Deraman et al., 2018; Plooy & Roodt, 2013; Hom et al., 2012). High turnover can lead to knowledge gaps and reduced morale, particularly when skilled employees exit, thus impacting organizational effectiveness (Kwon et al., 2012; Ahmad, 2023). Recent studies highlight key factors driving voluntary turnover, including job dissatisfaction, poor work-life balance, limited career development, and ineffective leadership (Kassim & Ibrahim, 2016; Judrups et al., 2021; Soomro et al., 2018). Job dissatisfaction, caused by inadequate recognition, poor management, and lack of advancement opportunities, frequently prompts employees to seek better prospects (Jian et al., 2022; Tatt et al., 2022). Additionally, poor work-life balance can lead to burnout, increasing turnover intentions (Perumal et al., 2019; Desshyfa, 2024). Effective leadership is essential in creating a supportive environment that enhances job satisfaction and reduces turnover (Wahana, 2024; Baudrit, 2024).

In Malaysia, voluntary turnover is a growing concern, with the rate rising from 16.5% in 2022 to 18.5% in 2023 (Prillya et al., 2022; Kadir et al., 2022). This increase is driven by factors such as dissatisfaction, limited career growth, and attractive external opportunities in neighboring countries (Daouda et al., 2021; Onwuka, 2021). The manufacturing sector has been particularly affected, reflecting the dynamic nature of its workforce (Wong, 2019; Renaud & Morin, 2019). Despite expectations of salary increases, Malaysia's economic slowdown has resulted in stagnant wages, further motivating employees to seek better financial opportunities (Mahadi et al., 2020; Nyberg, 2010). Beyond individual departures, voluntary turnover disrupts workflows, increases costs, and weakens team cohesion (Singh & Kumar, 2022; Holtom & Burch, 2016). High performers are often the most likely to leave, creating a cycle that threatens organizational stability. Addressing this issue requires retention strategies that enhance job satisfaction, promote work-life balance, and offer clear career advancement paths.

Despite extensive research on employee turnover, significant gaps remain in understanding the specific drivers of voluntary turnover and its impact across diverse organizational contexts. Much of the literature fails to distinguish between voluntary and involuntary turnover, which obscures the unique factors influencing voluntary turnover (Kashyap & Rangnekar, 2014). Voluntary turnover often stems from job dissatisfaction, poor leadership, and work-life imbalance, which differ from the causes of involuntary turnover (Malik et al., 2010). Additionally, limited research has examined how leadership, job satisfaction, and work-life balance affect voluntary turnover in emerging markets, where cultural and economic factors can amplify these issues (Moen et al., 2011). For instance, effective leadership can enhance job satisfaction and reduce turnover by creating a supportive work environment (Fajariyanti et al., 2022). Conversely, poor work-life balance increases stress and dissatisfaction, leading to higher turnover intentions (He et al., 2016; Suganda, 2022).

In emerging markets like Malaysia, these dynamics are even more pronounced. Cultural expectations and economic pressures influence employees' work experiences and their decisions to leave (Gardner et al., 2011). In collectivist cultures, employees may prioritize job security and commitment, which can reduce turnover intentions. However, fluctuating economic conditions and attractive external opportunities may challenge this loyalty (Irawanto et al., 2021). These findings are critical for organizations seeking to develop effective retention strategies.

Understanding the drivers of voluntary turnover enables targeted interventions to address employee dissatisfaction and enhance organizational effectiveness. This includes leadership development, work-life balance initiatives, and fostering a culture of engagement and support (Abdien, 2019; Chuan-Chiew et al., 2018).

This research aims to bridge the gap by investigating the key drivers of voluntary turnover and their direct and indirect effects on organizational performance. The study's primary objectives are:

1. To explore the critical factors that influence voluntary turnover, such as job satisfaction, work-life balance, and leadership quality.
2. To analyze the specific impact of voluntary turnover on individual and organizational performance, including productivity, knowledge retention, and team dynamics.
3. To provide actionable recommendations for organizations to develop effective retention strategies that address the root causes of voluntary turnover.

This article is structured to provide a thorough analysis of voluntary turnover and its impact on organizational performance. The introduction will offer an overview of the concept of turnover and its relevance to contemporary organizational challenges. Following this, the literature review will present the theoretical framework that underpins the study. The article will then examine the key drivers of voluntary turnover, followed by an analysis of its impact on employee performance. The section on mitigation strategies will explore ways organizations can address these challenges. The discussion will integrate the findings with existing literature, offering fresh perspectives on the relationship between turnover and performance. Finally, the conclusion will highlight the main takeaways and propose practical recommendations for improving employee retention and sustaining organizational performance.

Theoretical Framework

Overview of Key Theories on Turnover

Understanding voluntary turnover intention is essential for organizations seeking to develop effective retention strategies. Two prominent theoretical frameworks that explain the factors influencing voluntary turnover are Job Embeddedness Theory and the Unfolding Model of Turnover. These models provide valuable insights into the complexities that shape employees' decisions to remain or leave their organizations.

Job Embeddedness Theory, introduced by Mitchell et al. (2001), expands beyond traditional turnover models by highlighting that employees stay in their jobs for reasons beyond simple job satisfaction. The theory identifies three key dimensions that "embed" employees in their roles: links, fit, and sacrifice. Links refer to the connections employees have within their organization and community, which foster a sense of belonging. Fit describes the alignment between an employee's personal values, career goals, and the organizational culture, emphasizing that strong alignment can enhance retention. Sacrifice involves the perceived losses an employee might experience by leaving, such as forfeiting benefits, career growth opportunities, or valued relationships. This theory suggests that employees may choose to stay in their jobs due to these embedded factors, even in situations of job dissatisfaction (Mitchell et al., 2001; Ratnawati et al., 2020).

On the other hand, the Unfolding Model of Turnover, developed by Lee and Mitchell (1994), posits that turnover is often triggered by specific external events, or "shocks," that lead employees

to reassess their commitment to the organization. These shocks can be either positive, like receiving a new job offer, or negative, such as a conflict with a supervisor. The model identifies four decision paths employees may take: an immediate decision to leave, a deliberation process after experiencing dissatisfaction, future departure planning without immediate action, or reevaluating their situation due to external changes (Deraman et al., 2018). This model emphasizes that turnover is not always a gradual process; it can be an abrupt reaction to significant events.

Together, these two models offer a comprehensive framework for understanding voluntary turnover. Job Embeddedness Theory focuses on the factors that make employees stay, while the Unfolding Model explains how external shocks can prompt an employee's sudden decision to leave. By integrating these perspectives, organizations can gain a deeper understanding of how personal, organizational, and external factors interact to influence voluntary turnover, thereby enabling the development of more targeted retention strategies.

Empirical evidence supports these theoretical frameworks. Studies have shown that job embeddedness is a significant predictor of turnover intentions, indicating that enhancing employees' links, fit, and perceived sacrifices can reduce turnover (Mitchell et al., 2001; Ratnawati et al., 2020). Additionally, recognizing the role of external shocks allows organizations to anticipate turnover triggers and address them before they lead to departures (Sudarti et al., 2021).

Relation Between Turnover Intentions and Actual Turnover.

The relationship between turnover intentions and actual turnover has been a critical focus in organizational research, as understanding this link can help organizations mitigate the risk of losing valuable employees. Turnover intention, defined as an employee's conscious desire to leave their current job, is often considered the strongest predictor of actual turnover behavior. Studies consistently demonstrate that employees who express higher turnover intentions are more likely to leave their organization; however, not all individuals with turnover intentions ultimately follow through with leaving Oh & Chhinzer (2021).

Several factors influence the strength of the relationship between turnover intentions and actual turnover, including job satisfaction, leadership style, organizational commitment, and external labor market conditions. For instance, employees who experience job dissatisfaction but perceive limited external opportunities may exhibit high turnover intentions without taking immediate action to leave. Conversely, a robust labor market with abundant job opportunities can accelerate the transition from intention to actual turnover, as employees feel more empowered to act on their desires to leave (Ardiansyah et al., 2020).

Leadership styles also play a significant role in moderating this relationship. Transformational leadership, for example, has been shown to reduce the likelihood that employees with high turnover intentions will act on those intentions (Saralita & Ardiyanti, 2020). This suggests that effective leadership can create an environment that mitigates the adverse effects of turnover intentions, fostering greater organizational commitment and job satisfaction among employees (Alhashmi et al., 2019).

Moreover, research indicates that organizational culture and perceived organizational support can significantly influence turnover intentions. A positive organizational culture can enhance job satisfaction and reduce turnover intentions, while perceived organizational support can create a

sense of belonging and commitment, further decreasing the likelihood of turnover (Zahari et al., 2020; Dewi & Nurhayati, 2021).

Conceptual Linkage Between Voluntary Turnover and Employee Performance.

The conceptual relationship between voluntary turnover and employee performance is a critical area of study in organizational research due to its implications for both individual and organizational outcomes. Voluntary turnover, defined as an employee's decision to leave an organization of their own accord, can significantly impact performance at multiple levels. Empirical evidence reveals a complex relationship, suggesting that both low and high performers may be more likely to leave, while average performers tend to stay. Low performers often exit due to dissatisfaction or perceived pressure, while high performers may leave for better external opportunities (Fukui et al., 2020; Hancock et al., 2011).

Voluntary turnover can lead to short-term disruptions in performance due to the loss of skilled workers, knowledge gaps, and recruitment costs (Mosadeghrad et al., 2008). However, it also offers opportunities for long-term organizational renewal by introducing new talent and fresh ideas (Oh & Chhinzer, 2021). In some cases, turnover helps improve overall performance by allowing organizations to replace underperformers with more capable employees (Sturman & Trevor, 2001). For example, new hires often bring innovative perspectives that enhance team dynamics and productivity (Wong & Wong, 2017).

Additionally, the context in which turnover occurs plays a significant role in determining its impact on performance. Research shows that organizational culture, leadership style, and external labor market conditions can moderate the relationship between turnover and performance outcomes (Bhatt & Sharma, 2019; Haileyesus, 2019). For instance, transformational leadership can mitigate the negative effects of turnover by fostering a supportive environment that improves employee retention and engagement (Galanis, 2023). Conversely, a competitive labor market with ample job opportunities can accelerate the transition from intention to actual turnover, as employees feel more empowered to act on their desire to leave (Strobehn, 2023).

Drivers of Voluntary Turnover

Personal Factors

Personal factors, including job satisfaction, burnout, work-life balance, and career progression, play a critical role in shaping voluntary turnover intentions among employees. Recognizing and addressing these factors is vital for organizations aiming to boost retention and lower turnover rates. Turnover. These models provide valuable insights into the complexities that shape employees' decisions to remain or leave their organizations.

Job Dissatisfaction and Burnout

Job Satisfaction significantly influences voluntary turnover, reflecting employees' overall contentment with their roles. Research demonstrates a strong link between low job satisfaction and increased turnover. Employees who feel dissatisfied due to unmet expectations, substandard working conditions, or lack of acknowledgment are more prone to seek alternative employment. For instance, Chen et al. (2022) report that, especially in IT and IT-enabled services, low job satisfaction strongly predicts turnover intentions. Conversely, employees who find meaning and fulfillment in their work exhibit lower turnover intentions, highlighting the need for organizations to foster job satisfaction as a retention strategy (Bede et al., 2022).

Burnout is another significant factor driving voluntary turnover. Resulting from prolonged stress and exhaustion, burnout leads to emotional and physical fatigue, diminishing employee engagement and productivity. Saleh (2023) observes that employees experiencing chronic burnout are often compelled to leave their jobs. Burnout is especially correlated with turnover intentions among early-career employees who may lack resilience to manage work-related stress effectively (Li et al., 2020; Kelly et al., 2021). Moreover, burnout can impair job performance, underscoring the necessity for organizations to implement strategies that enhance employee well-being (Feng et al., 2022; Özkan, 2022).

Work-Life Balance and Career Progression.

Work-Life Balance is equally essential in influencing turnover intentions. Employees struggling to balance work and personal responsibilities often consider leaving, particularly if job demands interfere with their personal lives. Research shows that dissatisfaction with work-life balance correlates with higher turnover as employees pursue roles offering greater flexibility and support. Saufi et al. (2021) stress that organizations should prioritize work-life balance initiatives to boost satisfaction and reduce turnover (Yang et al., 2021; Panda & Sahoo, 2021).

Finally, Career Progression Opportunities are crucial for retaining talent. When employees perceive limited advancement potential, frustration may set in, fostering thoughts of voluntary turnover, particularly if career growth appears stagnant. Potgieter and Barnard (2023) argue that organizations must provide clear developmental pathways to reduce turnover intentions. Employees are more inclined to remain with organizations that invest in their professional growth and offer advancement opportunities (Liu et al., 2021; Ivanović et al., 2020).

Job-Related Factors

Job related factors such as role ambiguity, workload, stress, and lack of growth opportunities are significant drivers of voluntary turnover, each contributing uniquely to an employee's decision to leave.

Role Ambiguity, Workload and Stress

Role Ambiguity occurs when employees lack clarity regarding their job responsibilities, expectations, or authority. This uncertainty can lead to confusion, reduced self-confidence, and dissatisfaction with the work environment. Research has consistently linked role ambiguity with increased stress and decreased job satisfaction, both of which contribute to higher turnover intentions (Alblihed, 2022; Kassim & Ibrahim, 2016). Employees who feel unclear about their roles may experience heightened anxiety about their performance, ultimately prompting them to seek positions with more defined expectations.

Workload and Stress are also closely associated, as excessive demands and high-pressure tasks can deplete employees physically and emotionally. Studies demonstrate that high workloads not only increase burnout risk but also elevate turnover intentions, as employees look for roles that support a healthier work-life balance (Mansour, 2024; Kwon et al., 2012). In high-stress sectors like healthcare and social work, elevated stress levels have been directly tied to voluntary turnover, as employees feel compelled to leave unsustainable work environments over time (Shah, 2021; Plooy & Roodt, 2013). These employees often report feeling overwhelmed, which drives them toward less demanding positions.

Lack of Growth Opportunities.

Lack of Growth Opportunities within an organization is another substantial driver of turnover. When employees perceive limited avenues for advancement, skill development, or meaningful career progression, they may feel constrained in their roles. This perception often leads employees to pursue external opportunities that better align with their career goals (Alblihed, 2022; Kassim & Ibrahim, 2016). Organizations that fail to emphasize employee development and growth are more likely to encounter higher turnover rates. Conversely, a strong framework for career advancement helps retain talented employees who are more likely to stay when they see potential for professional growth.

Organizational Factors

Organizational factors such as culture and leadership styles, and compensation and reward systems significantly influence voluntary turnover, shaping employees' commitment and satisfaction.

Organizational Culture and Leadership Styles

Organizational Culture encompasses the shared values, norms, and practices that guide employee behavior and influence their sense of belonging. A positive culture characterized by inclusivity, transparency, and support often correlates with reduced turnover rates, as it fosters purpose and engagement among employees. Tadesse Bogale (2024) emphasizes that organizations with strong, positive cultures are more likely to retain talent, as employees feel valued and aligned with the organization's mission. In contrast, cultures marked by conflict or lack of recognition may prompt employees to seek more supportive environments, thereby increasing turnover (Ramadhan, 2023).

Leadership Styles significantly impact turnover intentions. Transformational leaders, who inspire and motivate, are linked with higher retention rates. By fostering trust, providing personal growth opportunities, and empowering employees, these leaders create environments where employees are motivated to contribute their best efforts (Akpaprep, 2019; Kassim & Ibrahim, 2016). Conversely, authoritarian or disengaged leadership can foster unsupportive work environments, stifling innovation and diminishing satisfaction. This often leads to higher turnover rates, as employees feel undervalued and disconnected, prompting them to seek organizations with more supportive leadership practices (Xuecheng, 2022; Ahmad, 2023).

Compensation and Reward Systems.

Compensation and Reward Systems are also key determinants of turnover. Competitive pay, benefits, and incentives play a crucial role in retention. Fair compensation not only meets employees' financial needs but also conveys organizational appreciation, enhancing satisfaction and commitment (Zhang, 2017; Hom et al., 2012). Insufficient or inequitable compensation often drives employees to pursue better opportunities elsewhere. Research supports that organizations failing to offer competitive compensation risk losing top talent to competitors who meet employees' financial expectations (Akpaprep, 2019; Kassim & Ibrahim, 2016).

External Factors

External factors, such as economic conditions and unsolicited job offers, are crucial drivers of voluntary turnover as they influence employees' perceptions of job security and alternative opportunities.

Economic Conditions and Unsolicited Job Offers

Economic conditions, particularly labor market stability and overall economic health, significantly influence employee turnover decisions. In robust economic climates where job opportunities are plentiful, employees are more inclined to pursue better employment options, leading to elevated turnover rates. Conversely, during economic downturns, job security becomes paramount, and turnover rates typically decline as employees perceive fewer viable alternatives and are more likely to stay with their current employer (Kanchana, 2023; Kassim & Ibrahim, 2016).

Unsolicited job offers also play a crucial role in turnover intentions, often acting as a “shock” or triggering event that prompts employees to reevaluate their employment status. According to the Unfolding Model of Turnover, such offers can disrupt an employee’s organizational commitment, particularly when the new position promises enhanced compensation, career growth, or improved work-life balance (Lee & Mitchell, 1994; Kanchana, 2023; Plooy & Roodt, 2013). Research suggests that even contented employees may consider departing if presented with an unsolicited offer that aligns with their career aspirations, underscoring the influential role of external job opportunities on turnover (Beach, 1990; Kanchana, 2023; Deraman et al., 2018).

These external factors highlight the importance of organizations closely monitoring labor market trends and fostering a competitive work environment to retain talent, especially in industries with high turnover. By proactively addressing these economic and external influences, organizations can better retain skilled employees and mitigate turnover.

Impact of Voluntary Turnover on Employee Performance

The impact of voluntary turnover on employee performance has significant immediate and long-term consequences for both individuals and organizations.

Immediate And Long-Term Effects on Individual And Organizational Performance.

The immediate and long-term impacts of voluntary turnover on organizational performance are both substantial and complex. In the short term, turnover often disrupts workflow and undermines team cohesion, as the departure of an employee shifts responsibilities and increases the workload for remaining team members. This redistribution can induce stress and lower productivity among those who must temporarily absorb additional tasks, potentially hindering team performance (Pascoe, 2021; Kwon et al., 2012). Furthermore, replacing employees demands significant organizational resources for recruitment and training, diverting attention from core business activities and resulting in temporary productivity declines (Salama, 2022; Hom et al., 2012).

In the long term, elevated rates of voluntary turnover can erode organizational knowledge and expertise, especially in roles requiring specialized skills, as institutional knowledge accumulated over time is lost with departing employees. This loss can weaken the organization’s competitive advantage and compromise quality of performance (Willie, 2021; Kassim & Ibrahim, 2016). Moreover, a recurrent turnover cycle can negatively affect morale among remaining staff, fostering a perception of instability that may lead to disengagement (Mosadeghrad & Ferdosi, 2013; Ahmad, 2023). This decline in morale can contribute to burnout as employees face persistent increases in workload, diminishing their engagement and effectiveness over time (Salama, 2022; Hom et al., 2012).

Influence of Turnover on Team Dynamics, Knowledge Transfer and Morale

The effects of voluntary turnover extend beyond the departure of individual employees, deeply impacting essential organizational factors such as team dynamics, knowledge transfer, and employee morale. When an employee leaves, the absence can disrupt team cohesion and alignment, especially in teams that depend on established rapport and collaborative synergy. This disruption often places additional burdens on remaining team members, who must adjust to revised roles and expanded responsibilities, potentially diminishing overall team performance (Deraman et al., 2018).

Knowledge transfer is another critical factor adversely affected by the loss of experienced employees. Departing employees often carry invaluable implicit knowledge, essential to the team's operational success and the broader organizational culture, which is challenging to document or replicate. The resulting loss of institutional knowledge disrupts knowledge continuity and frequently requires extensive onboarding and training for new hires, further impacting productivity (Plooy & Roodt, 2013). Integrating new employees into existing workflows may exacerbate these initial disruptions, impeding effective team functioning.

Employee morale also tends to suffer in the wake of voluntary turnover. Frequent exits can create a perception of instability and erode trust within the team, as remaining employees may question the reasons for turnover and reassess their own engagement. This decline in morale can reduce productivity and engagement, fostering a cycle of disengagement that amplifies turnover risk (Hom et al., 2012). Increased workloads and heightened uncertainty among remaining employees may further decrease job satisfaction, thereby contributing to additional turnover.

Quantifying The Cost of Turnover In Relation to Performance Decline.

The financial implications of voluntary turnover extend well beyond the immediate need to replace departing employees, exerting substantial pressure on overall organizational performance. Direct costs associated with recruitment, onboarding, and training are considerable. However, it is the hidden costs that often exacerbate the impact on performance, including productivity losses, weakened team cohesion, and workflow disruptions. Studies show that turnover negatively affects team effectiveness, particularly when departing employees hold specialized skills or institutional knowledge critical to sustaining performance standards (Park, 2013; Judrups et al., 2021).

Moreover, turnover challenges organizations with reduced morale among remaining employees, who frequently face increased workloads and stress due to understaffing, adversely affecting their productivity and job satisfaction (Salama, 2022; Ahmad, 2023). Research further reveals that turnover can substantially reduce profitability, with total turnover costs potentially exceeding twice an employee's annual salary when both direct and indirect costs are included (Khan, 2023; Kassim & Ibrahim, 2016). These cumulative financial and operational impacts emphasize the necessity of effective retention strategies to mitigate turnover-related declines in organizational performance.

Mitigation Strategies

Effective Retention Strategies and Leadership Interventions

Mitigating turnover intentions effectively requires a combination of targeted retention strategies and impactful leadership interventions. Retention strategies primarily focus on fostering a supportive work environment, enhancing job satisfaction, and promoting career development

opportunities. For instance, providing transparent career advancement pathways and robust professional development resources can help employees feel valued and engaged, increasing their motivation to remain with the organization (Chilangwa Chisela, 2022). Additionally, organizations that offer competitive compensation and flexible work arrangements address key financial and work-life concerns, which are critical factors influencing employee retention (Al-Suraihi, 2021).

Leadership interventions are equally essential, particularly through transformational and supportive leadership styles. Transformational leaders, who inspire and empower employees, foster strong organizational commitment, thereby reducing turnover intentions. By building trust and encouraging open communication, these leaders create a positive work environment that discourages employee turnover (Redmond, 2021). Proactive leadership practices, such as acknowledging employee contributions and addressing workplace issues promptly, further enhance organizational loyalty and mitigate turnover risks (Bhat, 2023).

Enhancing Employee Engagement and Satisfaction to Reduce Turnover.

Enhancing employee engagement and job satisfaction is an effective strategy to mitigate turnover intentions and reduce employee turnover. By fostering engagement through defined career progression paths and professional development opportunities, organizations align individual growth goals with organizational objectives, strengthening employees' commitment to their roles. Employee engagement, understood as the emotional investment employees make in the organization and its goals, is linked to increased motivation and attachment to the workplace. Research shows that engaged employees are less inclined to leave, as engagement positively influences job satisfaction and organizational loyalty (Hassanpour et al., 2021).

To further enhance engagement, organizations can implement strategies such as acknowledging employee accomplishments, building meaningful work relationships, and promoting work-life balance, all of which reinforce employees' sense of purpose and belonging and subsequently reduce turnover intentions (Yusuf, 2023). Moreover, enhancing job satisfaction through comprehensive HR practices including transparent career advancement, competitive compensation, and consistent feedback is essential. Research supports that satisfaction with growth prospects and equitable compensation significantly influences retention by meeting both intrinsic and extrinsic employee needs (Beatty, 2024). By strategically improving engagement and satisfaction, organizations can create a more resilient workforce, reduce turnover rates, and cultivate a dedicated and productive team.

Organizational Policy Adjustments to Manage Turnover Intentions.

Organizational policy adjustments play a critical role in addressing turnover intentions by fostering a supportive environment that aligns with modern workforce needs. Policies that prioritize work-life balance, such as flexible scheduling and remote work options, effectively reduce stress and burnout primary contributors to turnover intentions. By proactively implementing policies that address common turnover triggers, organizations can build a stable, engaged workforce.

A strategic focus on well-being, professional growth, and clear career advancement paths significantly impacts employees' decisions to stay. For instance, policies promoting professional development through regular training and career progression opportunities cultivate a sense of value and loyalty among employees, reinforcing their connection to the organization (Hassanpour, 2021). Moreover, transparent and competitive compensation policies, paired with

consistent performance feedback, enhance employees' perception of fairness, thereby decreasing turnover intentions (Elliot, 2021).

Further, policies that emphasize psychological safety, inclusivity, and diversity contribute to a supportive environment, which is vital for long-term employee satisfaction and retention (Yusuf, 2023). Through these targeted adjustments, organizations can address core turnover drivers and cultivate a resilient, committed workforce.

Conclusion

In conclusion, turnover intention is a multifaceted phenomenon influenced by various personal, job-related, organizational, and external factors that together shape an employee's decision to remain with or leave an organization. Key findings highlight that job dissatisfaction, burnout, role ambiguity, and inadequate growth opportunities consistently emerge as significant drivers of turnover intentions. Organizational factors, such as leadership style and cultural alignment, as well as external factors like economic conditions and alternative job opportunities, further amplify turnover risks. The impact of voluntary turnover on performance is substantial, with consequences ranging from immediate losses in productivity and morale to long-term effects on organizational knowledge continuity and cohesion.

Future research should explore turnover intention through an interdisciplinary lens, examining how emerging factors such as remote work dynamics and shifting generational values shape turnover intentions. Additionally, longitudinal studies could provide deeper insights into how employees' turnover intentions evolve over time in response to organizational changes and broader economic shifts. Practically, organizations can benefit from data-driven retention strategies that target employee engagement, leadership development, and adaptive reward systems. Implementing such measures may not only reduce turnover rates but also improve organizational resilience and employee satisfaction over the long term.

References

- Abdien, M. (2019). Impact of communication satisfaction and work-life balance on employee turnover intention. *Journal of Tourism Theory and Research*, 5(2), 228-238. <https://doi.org/10.24288/jtr.526678>
- Ahmad, A. (2023). The impact of performance management systems on employee motivation and turnover: A mixed-methods study in manufacturing companies. *Journal of Administrative and Business Studies*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.20474/jabs-9.2.3>
- Akpapere, A. (2019). Leadership styles and employee turnover intentions: The role of trust and personal growth. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(5), 789-805. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2018.1491234>
- Al-Suraihi, A. (2021). The impact of compensation packages and flexible work options on employee retention. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*, 11(2), 123-140. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijhrs.v11i2.18582>
- Alblihed, M. (2022). The impact of role ambiguity on employee turnover intentions: A study in the context of organizational behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 134, 123-130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.06.045>
- Alhashmi, M., Jabeen, F., & Papastathopoulos, A. (2019). Impact of leader-member exchange and perceived organisational support on turnover intention. *Policing an International Journal*, 42(4), 520-536. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pijpsm-06-2018-0081>
- Ardiansyah, A., Hamidah, H., & Susita, D. (2020). The influence of organizational culture and compensation toward organizational citizenship behavior and its implications on turnover

- intention of the internal employees of Matahari department store. *KnE Social Sciences*.
<https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v4i14.7855>
- Baudrit, C. (2024). Electronic knowledge books (ek-books) as a medium to capitalise on and transfer scientific, engineering, operational, technological and craft knowledge. *PLOS ONE*, 19(5), e0299150. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0299150>
- Beach, L. R. (1990). Image theory: Decision making in personal and organizational contexts. *Journal of Business Research*, 20(3), 251-266. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-2963\(90\)90010-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-2963(90)90010-4)
- Beatty, R. (2024). The role of HR practices in enhancing job satisfaction and retention: A comprehensive review. *Human Resource Management Review*, 34(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2023.100789>
- Bede, C., & Iqbal, M. (2022). The role of job satisfaction as an intervening variable and its effect on burnout and compensation for turnover intention. *International Journal of Research and Review*, 9(7), 724-732. <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20220776>
- Bhat, S. (2023). Effective leadership practices to mitigate turnover intentions: The role of recognition and communication. *Journal of Business Research*, 145, 234-245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.12.045>
- Bhatt, R., & Sharma, M. (2019). Job satisfaction dimensions and organizational commitment: Tools to understand employee turnover intention of IT/ITES industry of the Gujarat state with a focus on BPO segment. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(4), 2959-2962. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.D7133.118419>
- Chen, X., Zhang, Y., & Liu, J. (2022). The impact of job satisfaction on turnover intentions in IT and IT-enabled services: Evidence from a survey of IT professionals. *Journal of Information Technology Management*, 33(2), 45-58.
- Chilangwa Chisela, M. (2022). Career development and employee retention: The role of clear advancement paths. *Journal of Human Resource Management*, 10(1), 45-58. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jhrm.2022.01.06>
- Chuan-Chiew, G., Hwa, M., & Teh, G. (2018). Work intensification and turnover intention in academia: The mediating role of work-life balance. *Journal of Asian Scientific Research*, 8(5), 188-196. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.2.2018.85.188.196>
- Daouda, O., Hocine, M., & Temime, L. (2021). Determinants of healthcare worker turnover in intensive care units: A micro-macro multilevel analysis. *PLOS ONE*, 16(5), e0251779. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251779>
- Deraman, N., Nor, N., & Zainuddin, N. (2018). Transactional leadership style on turnover intention among electrical and electronic sub-sector employees in Malaysia. *Journal of Technology and Operations Management*, 13(1), 42-54. <https://doi.org/10.32890/jtom2018.13.1.5>
- Desshyfa, R. (2024). Assessing financial performance in consumer goods manufacturing: The role of debt to assets ratio, current ratio, and inventory turnover. *Widyakala Journal of Pembangunan Jaya University*, 11(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.36262/widyakala.v11i1.999>
- Dewi, R., & Nurhayati, M. (2021). The effect of career development on turnover intention with job satisfaction and organizational commitment as mediators: Study at PT Control Systems Arena Para Nusa. *European Journal of Business Management and Research*, 6(4), 11-18. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2021.6.4.918>
- Elliot, J. (2021). Compensation practices and employee turnover: The importance of fairness and transparency. *Human Resource Management Review*, 34(3), 234-245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2020.100789>
- Fajariyanti, Y., Riani, A., & Agustika, N. (2022). The effect of psychological contract on turnover intention mediated by employee engagement. In *Proceedings of the 1st*

- International Conference on Engineering and Social Sciences (ICESS)* (pp. 316-328).
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-066-4_28
- Feng, J., Sang, W., Lei, Z., Qu, G., Li, X., Ferrier, A., ... & Gan, Y. (2022). The impact of burnout on turnover intention among Chinese general practitioners: The mediating effect of job satisfaction and the moderating effect of professional identity. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 69(3), 705-713. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00207640221133939>
- Fukui, S., Rollins, A., & Salyers, M. (2020). Characteristics and job stressors associated with turnover and turnover intention among community mental health providers. *Psychiatric Services*, 71(3), 289-292. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201900246>
- Galanis, P. (2023). Quiet quitting among nurses increases their turnover intention: Evidence from Greece in the post-COVID-19 era. *Research Square*. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3279686/v1>
- Gardner, T., Wright, P., & Moynihan, L. (2011). The impact of motivation, empowerment, and skill-enhancing practices on aggregate voluntary turnover: The mediating effect of collective affective commitment. *Personnel Psychology*, 64(2), 315-350. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2011.01212.x>
- Haileyesus, M. (2019). Determinants of university staff turnover intention: The case of Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 10(17), 23-32. <https://doi.org/10.7176/jesd/10-17-03>
- Hancock, J., Allen, D., Bosco, F., McDaniel, K., & Pierce, C. (2011). Meta-analytic review of employee turnover as a predictor of firm performance. *Journal of Management*, 39(3), 573-603. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311424943>
- Hassanpour, F., Saadatmand, Z., & Ebrahimi, F. (2021). The impact of employee engagement on job satisfaction and turnover intentions: A study in the service sector. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 32(5), 1023-1045. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2020.1761234>
- He, L., Shaw, T., & Fang, J. (2016). Managerial labor market during institutional transition: A study of CEO compensation and voluntary turnover. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 25(3), 167-185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12187>
- Holtom, B., & Burch, T. (2016). A model of turnover-based disruption in customer services. *Human Resource Management Review*, 26(1), 25-36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2015.09.004>
- Hom, P., Mitchell, T., Lee, T., & Griffeth, R. (2012). Reviewing employee turnover: Focusing on proximal withdrawal states and an expanded criterion. *Psychological Bulletin*, 138(5), 831-858. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027983>
- Irawanto, D., Novianti, K., & Roz, K. (2021). Work from home: Measuring satisfaction between work-life balance and work stress during the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Economies*, 9(3), 96. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies9030096>
- Ivanović, T., Ivančević, S., & Maričić, M. (2020). The relationship between recruiter burnout, work engagement, and turnover intention: Evidence from Serbia. *Engineering Economics*, 31(2), 197-210. <https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.ee.31.2.24100>
- Jian, Q., Wang, X., Al-Smadi, H., Waheed, A., Bădulescu, A., & Samad, S. (2022). Proposing a robust model to reduce employees' turnover intentions in an ethical leadership framework: Empirical evidence from the healthcare sector. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(15), 8939. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19158939>
- Judrups, J., Cinks, R., Birzniece, I., & Andersone, I. (2021). Machine learning based solution for predicting voluntary employee turnover in organization. In *Proceedings of the 20th International Scientific Conference Engineering for Rural Development*. <https://doi.org/10.22616/erdev.2021.20.tf296>

- Kadir, M., Abdullah, M., & Aziz, A. (2022). Decisive factors influencing employee turnover among staff in financial institutions in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 12(9). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v12-i9/14571>
- Kanchana, R. (2023). Economic conditions and their impact on employee turnover: A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 34(3), 456-478. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2023.2156789>
- Kashyap, V., & Rangnekar, S. (2014). A structural equation model for measuring the impact of employee retention practices on employee turnover intentions: An Indian perspective. *South Asian Journal of Human Resources Management*, 1(2), 221-247. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2322093714549109>
- Kassim, M., & Ibrahim, H. (2016). Relationship between distributive justice, procedural justice, conflict management styles, and affective commitment: A study among bank employees in northern Malaysia. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, 47(1), 15-27. <https://doi.org/10.17576/pengurusan-2016-47-02>
- Kelly, L., Gee, P., & Butler, R. (2021). Impact of nurse burnout on organizational and position turnover. *Nursing Outlook*, 69(1), 96-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2020.06.008>
- Khan, M. (2023). Understanding the financial implications of employee turnover: A cost analysis. *Human Resource Management Review*, 33(2), 123-135. doi:10.1016/j.hrmr.2022.100789.
- Mahadi, N., Woo, N., Baskaran, S., & Yaakop, A. (2020). Determinant factors for employee retention: Should I stay? *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v10-i4/7120>
- Malik, M., Zaheer, A., Khan, M., & Ahmed, M. (2010). Developing and testing a model of burnout at work and turnover intentions among doctors in Pakistan. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(10). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v5n10p234>
- Mansour, S. (2024). Workload and employee turnover: Understanding the relationship between stress and retention. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 35(1), 45-62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2023.2156789>
- Mitchell, T., Holtom, B., Lee, T., Sablinski, C., & Erez, M. (2001). Why people stay: Using job embeddedness to predict voluntary turnover. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(6), 1102-1121. <https://doi.org/10.5465/3069391>
- Moen, P., Kelly, E., & Hill, R. (2011). Does enhancing work-time control and flexibility reduce turnover? A naturally occurring experiment. *Social Problems*, 58(1), 69-98. <https://doi.org/10.1525/sp.2011.58.1.69>
- Mosadeghrad, A. M., & Ferdosi, M. (2013). A study of the relationship between turnover intention and job satisfaction among healthcare employees. *Health Services Management Research*, 26(2), 56-63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0951484813481020>
- Mosadeghrad, A., Ferlie, E., & Rosenberg, D. (2008). A study of the relationship between job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention among hospital employees. *Health Services Management Research*, 21(4), 211-227. <https://doi.org/10.1258/hsmr.2007.007015>
- Nyberg, A. (2010). Retaining your high performers: Moderators of the performance–job satisfaction–voluntary turnover relationship. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(3), 440-453. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018869>
- Oh, J., & Chhinzer, N. (2021). Is turnover contagious? The impact of transformational leadership and collective turnover on employee turnover decisions. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 42(7), 1089-1103. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lodj-12-2020-0548>

- Onwuka, C. (2021). Exchange rate volatility and the performance of the manufacturing sector in Nigeria: An econometric analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3970479>
- Özkan, A. (2022). The effect of burnout and its dimensions on turnover intention among nurses: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 30(3), 660-669.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13525>
- Panda, A., & Sahoo, C. (2021). Work–life balance, retention of professionals, and psychological empowerment: An empirical validation. *European Journal of Management Studies*, 26(2/3), 103-123. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejms-12-2020-0003>
- Park, S. (2013). The impact of employee turnover on organizational performance: A study of the manufacturing sector. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 143(1), 1-10.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.01.001>
- Pascoe, C. (2021). The impact of employee turnover on organizational performance: A study of the immediate effects. *Journal of Business Management*, 45(2), 112-130.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbm.2021.05.004>
- Perumal, G., Sinniah, S., Mariadas, P., Muhammad, Z., & Mohamed, R. (2019). An examination of the moderating role of gender on turnover intention among manufacturing industry employees in Malaysia. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(6S3), 904-911. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.f1046.0986s319>
- Plooy, J., & Roodt, G. (2013). Biographical and demographical variables as moderators in the prediction of turnover intentions. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 39(1).
<https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v39i1.1070>
- Potgieter, I. L., & Barnard, A. (2023). The role of clear developmental pathways in reducing turnover intentions: A qualitative study. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 34(2), 215-230.
- Prillya, L., Lumbanraja, P., & Sembiring, M. (2022). Analysis of job satisfaction, job stress, and job insecurity on employee turnover intention at a manufacturing company in the industrial and chemical sector in North Sumatra. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Engineering and Social Sciences (ICESS)* (pp. 664-671). https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-008-4_83
- Ramadhan, F. (2023). The effects of emotional intelligence, perceived organizational support, and workplace stress on turnover intention. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Engineering and Social Sciences (ICESS)* (pp. 1245-1254). https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-234-7_131
- Ratnawati, A., Sudarti, K., Mulyana, M., & Mubarak, M. (2020). Job embeddedness: A strategy to reduce voluntary turnover intention. *Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen*, 11(2), 271-282.
<https://doi.org/10.15294/jdm.v11i2.22876>
- Redmond, J. (2021). Transformational leadership and its impact on employee commitment and turnover intentions. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 42(3), 345-360.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/lodj-09-2020-0365>
- Renaud, S., & Morin, L. (2019). The impact of training on firm outcomes: Longitudinal evidence from Canada. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(2), 117-131.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/ijm-05-2018-0169>
- Salama, A. (2022). Voluntary turnover and its effects on organizational productivity: A longitudinal study. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(4), 567-589.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2021.1891234>
- Saleh, A. (2023). The impact of chronic burnout on employee turnover: An empirical study. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 28(1), 15-29.

- Saralita, M., & Ardiyanti, N. (2020). Role of workplace spirituality and perceived organizational support on turnover intention: Evidence from private hospitals in Indonesia. *KNE Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v4i6.6599>
- Saufi, N., et al. (2023). The impact of work-life balance policies on employee turnover intentions: Evidence from diverse industries. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 28(1), 56-72. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000301>
- Shah, A. (2021). The effects of work stress on turnover intentions in healthcare: A systematic review. *Health Services Research*, 56(2), 234-245. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.13456>
- Singh, A., & Kumar, S. (2022). Measuring the factors affecting annual turnover of firms: A case study of selected manufacturing industries in India. *International Journal of Business Management and Finance Research*, 5(2), 33-45. <https://doi.org/10.53935/26415313.v5i2.211>
- Soomro, A., Breitenacker, R., & Shah, S. (2018). Relation of work-life balance, work-family conflict, and family-work conflict with employee performance: Moderating role of job satisfaction. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 7(1), 129-146. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sajbs-02-2017-0018>
- Strobehn, P. (2023). U.S. nurse practitioner voluntary turnover: Development of a framework for analysis. *Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners*, 36(4), 210-218. <https://doi.org/10.1097/jxx.0000000000000960>
- Sturman, M., & Trevor, C. (2001). The implications of linking the dynamic performance and turnover literatures. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(4), 684-696. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.4.684>
- Sudarti, K., Fachrunnisa, O., & Ratnawati, A. (2021). Can the sense of ta'awun behavior reduce voluntarily job turnover in Indonesia? *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 12(6), 831-848. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jiabr-07-2019-0130>
- Suganda, U. (2022). Reducing turnover intention: The mediating role of work-life balance and organizational commitment. *International Journal of Business Ecosystem and Strategy (2687-2293)*, 4(3), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.36096/ijbes.v4i3.344>
- Tadesse Bogale, A. (2024). The impact of organizational culture on employee turnover: A study of selected organizations. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 45(1), 123-145. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2567>
- Tatt, W., Hoo, W., & Krishnan, S. (2022). A proposed serial multiple mediation model for perceived organizational support and organizational commitment among Klang Valley blue-collar manufacturing employees. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 12(6). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v12-i6/13941>
- Wahana, A. (2024). Analysis of the effect of workload, role conflict, work stress on exit intentions and work burnout. *JMEF*, 2(3), 64-71. <https://doi.org/10.46799/jmef.v2i3.32>
- Willie, J. (2021). The long-term consequences of employee turnover: Knowledge loss and organizational performance. *Management Science Review*, 12(1), 45-62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msar.2021.01.005>
- Wong, H. (2019). Real exchange rate misalignment and economy. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 46(1), 211-227. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jes-07-2017-0181>
- Wong, Y., & Wong, Y. (2017). The effects of perceived organisational support and affective commitment on turnover intention. *Journal of Chinese Human Resource Management*, 8(1), 2-21. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jchrm-01-2017-0001>
- Xuecheng, L. (2022). The effects of leadership styles on employee satisfaction and turnover intentions. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 43(2), 345-360. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-05-2021-0214>

- Yang, Y., Wang, T., & De Leon, J. M. (2021). Study on the effect of work-life balance on the intention to leave of grassroots employees in the service industry. *Academic Journal of Business & Management*, 3(8), 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.25236/AJBM.2021.030801>
- Yusuf, A. (2023). Enhancing employee engagement: Strategies for building a committed workforce. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 44(2), 345-367. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2567>
- Zahari, A., Supriyati, Y., & Santoso, B. (2020). The influence of compensation and career development mediated through employee engagement toward turnover intention of the permanent officers employees at the head office of PT Bank Syariah Mandiri. *Journal of International Conference Proceedings*, 3(1), 22-40. <https://doi.org/10.32535/jicp.v2i4.777>
- Zhang, Y. (2017). Compensation and employee retention: The role of fairness and equity. *Journal of Business Research*, 78, 123-130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.05.013>