

INTEGRATING GAME THEORY INTO EDUCATIONAL SIMULATIONS: OPPORTUNITIES AND GAPS

Haslina Mohd Nor ^{1*}
Safiah Suhaimi ²

¹Department of Logistics Management and Business Administration, Faculty of Defence Studies and Management, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (E-mail: haslina.mn@upnm.edu.my)

²Department of Management, Department of Management, Faculty of Defence Studies and Management, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (E-mail: safiah@upnm.edu.my)

*Corresponding author: haslina.mn@upnm.edu.my

Article history

Received date : 25-8-2025

Revised date : 27-8-2025

Accepted date : 22-9-2025

Published date : 15-10-2025

To cite this document:

Mohd Nor, H., & Suhaimi, S. (2025). Integrating game theory into educational simulations: Opportunities and gaps. *International Journal of Accounting, Finance and Business (IJAFB)*, 10 (62), 387 – 394.

Abstract: *This study explores the integration of game theory into educational simulations within Malaysian higher education, highlighting both opportunities and existing gaps. Game theory, traditionally rooted in economics, offers a strategic framework for modelling decision-making, conflict resolution, and cooperative behaviour—principles that are increasingly relevant in pedagogical design. Through a systematic literature review, the study examines how game-theoretic constructs such as Nash equilibrium, reward matrices, and strategic dominance can enhance learner engagement, critical thinking, and value internalization. Despite growing interest, few studies have rigorously applied these principles to simulation-based learning environments. The findings reveal a lack of empirical validation, limited interdisciplinary collaboration, and accessibility challenges. However, the potential for curricular innovation, pedagogical alignment, and transformative assessment strategies remains significant. This paper calls for deeper integration of game theory into simulation design to foster strategic learning and prepare students for complex real-world scenarios.*

Keywords: *Game Theory, Educational Simulations, Strategic Learning, Higher Education.*

Introduction

Educational simulations have become effective teaching tools that put students in authentic situations and let them apply theory to real-world situations. Simulations provide educators opportunities to experiment with different strategies, receive feedback, and reflect on their actions without the immediate consequences of real-life interactions. They vary in modality, ranging from human-based simulations to computer-based immersive or virtual reality environments (Lindberg & Jönsson, 2023; Spencer et al., 2019). Game theory is one of the theoretical frameworks that can enhance these simulations since it can predict rational behaviour, represent cooperative and competitive interactions, and encourage critical thinking. The application of game theory to educational simulations is still little understood and dispersed, despite its demonstrated value in political science, economics, and strategic management.

In Malaysia, interdisciplinary approach in game theory is gaining traction, particularly in fields such as management, education, and engineering, where strategic interaction and problem-solving are core competencies. Hence this study looks into how game-theoretic concepts like reward matrices, Nash equilibrium, and strategic dominance can be included into simulation design to improve learner engagement, decision-making abilities, and practicality. It also points out areas that need empirical confirmation, accessibility, and interdisciplinary integration while emphasizing chances for curricular improvement, pedagogical alignment, and innovative evaluation. The greatest importance of the game theory is identified for the educational system.

Problem Statement

Simulation games have become increasingly recognized as innovative tools for teaching and learning in higher education. Prior research highlights their effectiveness in enhancing student engagement, problem-solving, and knowledge acquisition across various disciplines (Lean et al., 2006; Faria et al., 2009). However, despite these benefits, several critical gaps remain that limit the broader educational and societal impact of simulation games.

First, most studies have concentrated on cognitive outcomes while overlooking the potential of simulation games to develop leadership of character and core values. In higher education, particularly in defence-related contexts, character-based outcomes such as duty, honour, and integrity are as important as technical knowledge. Yet empirical evidence linking simulation games with values-based education remains scarce.

Second, simulation games have often been applied in narrow disciplinary or institutional contexts, such as business schools, medical training, or military academies (Perla, 1990; Sabin, 2012). There is insufficient exploration of how such games can be designed for broader university settings—across both public and private universities—to support civic responsibility, patriotism, and nation-building. With Malaysia's emphasis on holistic graduate development, there is a pressing need to examine how simulation games can contribute to producing graduates with both competence and character.

Third, while game theory has long provided insights into strategic decision-making (Von Neumann & Morgenstern, 1944; Nash, 1950), its systematic integration into educational simulations remains underexplored. Few studies have examined how game theory-driven simulation frameworks can model real-life dilemmas, encourage cooperation under pressure, and guide students in balancing self-interest with collective responsibility (Myerson, 2010; Warren & Hutchinson, 2003).

In light of these gaps, there is a clear need for research that develops and evaluates simulation games not only as tools for learning but also as frameworks for cultivating leadership of character and national values. Such research would address both academic and societal demands by strengthening students' decision-making skills, resilience, and ethical judgment—thereby contributing to Malaysia's agenda of producing holistic graduates for nation-building.

Objectives

In this article, we focus on examining three objectives:

1. To develop a simulation game framework, grounded in game theory, that supports both cognitive learning and the cultivation of leadership of character among university students.
2. To examine the effectiveness of simulation games in fostering values such as duty, honour, integrity, patriotism, and civic responsibility across Malaysian public and private universities.
3. To analyse how game theory-based simulations influence students' strategic decision-making, cooperation under pressure, and ability to balance self-interest with collective responsibility.

Significance of The Study

This study is significant in several dimensions:

Academic Contribution

The study contributes to the growing body of literature on simulation games by addressing gaps beyond cognitive learning outcomes. While prior studies have focused on engagement and knowledge acquisition, this study extends the discourse to include leadership of character and values-based education. By integrating game theory principles into simulation design, the study introduces a novel theoretical framework for analysing decision-making under pressure, cooperation, and ethical dilemmas. These contributions will enrich scholarship in educational technology, defence studies, and leadership development.

Practical Impact for Higher Education

For universities, the findings provide a validated simulation game framework that can be adopted as a teaching and learning innovation. The framework offers a practical tool for lecturers and facilitators to cultivate not only students' problem-solving skills but also their sense of responsibility, integrity, and resilience. The research outputs, including a prototype and intellectual property (copyright), will serve as tangible resources that can be implemented across multiple faculties and programs.

National Relevance and Nation-Building

Aligned with Malaysia's higher education agenda and the Malaysia MADANI vision, the study addresses the pressing need to produce holistic graduates who embody patriotism, civic values, and ethical leadership. By benchmarking defence-related values (duty, honour, integrity) from UPNM and adapting them to wider university contexts, the research supports nation-building through education. The findings will inform policymakers and curriculum designers on how innovative pedagogical tools such as simulation games can be scaled nationally to strengthen leadership of character among young Malaysians.

Literature Review

The integration of game theory into educational simulations represents a promising yet underexplored frontier in pedagogical innovation. To explore strategic interactions between individual behaviours, game theory has become a key tool across many disciplines. It provides a unified framework for decision-making, where the participating players in a conflict must make strategy choices that potentially affect the interest of other players (Zhang & Li, 2023). Game theory is the discipline that studies how agents make strategic decisions. It was initially developed in economics to understand a large collection of economic behaviours, including firms, markets, and consumers. At its core, game theory provides a mathematical framework for analysing situations of conflict and cooperation where the outcome for each participant depends not only on their own actions but also on the actions of others (Cano-Berlanga et al., 2019).

Recent studies have highlighted several opportunities for embedding game-theoretic logic into simulation-based learning.

Opportunities 1: Enhancing Strategic Thinking and Decision-Making

Game theory introduces learners to structured strategic reasoning through concepts like Nash equilibrium, payoff matrices, and dominant strategies. When embedded into simulations, these models allow students to explore competitive and cooperative dynamics in realistic scenarios. This fosters deeper cognitive engagement and prepares learners for complex decision-making environments. According to Katsikopoulos et al. (2022), embedding game-theoretic reasoning into simulations cultivates analytical thinking by requiring learners to anticipate others' actions and evaluate optimal strategies under uncertainty. This mirrors real-world business and policy scenarios, where strategic foresight and adaptive reasoning are critical. Moreover, Riedl et al. (2020) emphasize that simulations based on game theory promote reflective learning, as students must continuously revise their strategies in response to changing conditions and opponent behaviour.

Opportunities 2: Improving Learner Engagement and Motivation

Game-based learning, including simulations informed by game theory, has been shown to significantly boost student motivation and participation. The use of strategic challenges and interactive feedback loops aligns with Self-Determination Theory and Flow Theory, creating immersive learning experiences that sustain attention and curiosity. According to Sailer et al. (2017), game elements like feedback, goals, and progress tracking significantly contribute to learners' perceived competence and autonomy—two core components of Self-Determination Theory. When learners engage in simulations that require strategic decision-making, they experience a sense of control and mastery, which fuels sustained motivation and deeper cognitive involvement.

Opportunities 3: Facilitating Interdisciplinary Learning

Game theory's applicability across disciplines—economics, political science, psychology, and business—makes it a versatile tool for interdisciplinary education. Simulations can be designed to reflect multifaceted problems, encouraging learners to synthesize knowledge from various domains and apply it in strategic contexts. According to Shute & Ventura (2018), the design of educational games and simulations inherently demands interdisciplinary collaboration, drawing from fields such as cognitive psychology, instructional design, software engineering, and domain-specific expertise. Game-theoretic simulations, in particular, require learners to

navigate complex systems where economic incentives, psychological behaviour, and social dynamics intersect—mirroring real-world strategic environments.

Recent studies have highlighted several gaps for embedding game-theoretic logic into simulation-based learning.

Gap 1: Limited empirical evidence on character and values education

Most studies on simulation and serious games in higher education focus on cognitive outcomes such as knowledge acquisition, problem-solving, or technical skills (Lean et al., 2006; Faria et al., 2009). However, there is a lack of research examining how simulation games can shape leadership of character, including values such as duty, honour, and integrity, which are critical for holistic student development. Recent reviews, such as Boyle et al. (2016), confirm that most empirical studies on game-based learning focus on engagement, motivation, and cognitive skill development, with minimal attention given to affective or character-based outcomes. This creates a critical gap, especially in disciplines like leadership, ethics, and civic education, where values such as duty, honour, integrity, empathy, and accountability are essential for holistic learner development.

Gap 2: Insufficient research on simulation games across diverse university contexts

Previous studies have largely been conducted in specific disciplines (e.g., business, engineering, or healthcare) or within military academies (Perla, 1990; Sabin, 2012). There is limited exploration of how simulation games can be adapted for broader higher education settings—across both public and private universities—particularly in cultivating civic values and nation-building among students. In a recent study, Agbo et al. (2023) emphasize the need for culturally responsive game design, particularly in multilingual environments where civic values must be framed within local narratives and linguistic norms. However, few simulation models explicitly address values like patriotism, inclusivity, and community engagement, nor do they offer bilingual scaffolding to support learners from diverse backgrounds.

Gap 3: Limited integration of game theory in educational simulations

While game theory has long been used to analyse strategic decision-making (Von Neumann & Morgenstern, 1944; Nash, 1950), its direct application to educational simulations remains underexplored. Few studies have systematically combined game theory principles with simulation games to assess how students make decisions under pressure, balance self-interest with collective outcomes, or internalize values through repeated gameplay (Myerson, 2010; Warren & Hutchinson, 2003). Simulation games grounded in game-theoretic models—such as the Prisoner's Dilemma, Nash equilibrium, or dominant strategy frameworks—can provide immersive environments where learners confront real-world dilemmas. These scenarios challenge students to anticipate others' actions, adapt strategies, and reflect on the consequences of their choices. However, most educational applications tend to focus on surface-level gamification (e.g., points, badges, leaderboards) rather than embedding deeper strategic constructs that mirror authentic conflict and cooperation dynamics.

Rodrigo et al., (2008) found that students experienced significantly more boredom and frustration and less flow in a simulation game than in an intelligent tutoring system. Whitton, (2007) reported that there was not a direct relationship between gameplay and affective or cognitive learning engagement in computer games. These findings implied that certain features unique to games (eg, fantasy, sensory stimuli and gaming reward) may make play experience fun but not necessarily create the engagement with the embedded learning or subject matter.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, literature-based research design to explore the integration of game theory into educational simulations. The methodology is structured to systematically analyse scholarly sources, identify thematic opportunities, and uncover research gaps relevant to curriculum innovation, strategic learning, and values education. Qualitative methods are invaluable in addressing the how and whys of implementation, and are well-suited to both relatively straightforward and more nuanced aims, such as: revealing organizational and interpersonal dynamics affecting the intervention (Harvey et al., 2018); explaining practice change (Lessard et al., 2016); identifying what strategies are being used to foster organizational change, how successful they are perceived to be, and how they make a difference (Bokhour et al., 2018). The primary goal of employing the qualitative technique is to compile all the information needed to provide educational values for analysing the opportunities and gaps in integrating game theory into educational simulations.

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to explore the integration of game theory into educational simulations within Malaysian higher education. The SLR method ensures a rigorous, transparent, and replicable approach to identifying, analysing, and synthesizing relevant scholarly work. Systematic literature review identifies, assesses, and explains all research related to a particular research question, topic area, or phenomenon of interest (Kitchenham, 2004). In this context, the SLR aims to uncover how game-theoretic principles—such as Nash equilibrium, payoff matrices, and strategic dominance—have been applied in educational simulations, particularly within Malaysian universities. It also seeks to highlight opportunities and gaps in empirical validation, interdisciplinary integration, and accessibility of such approaches.

Conclusion

The integration of game theory into educational simulations is an underexplored but promising area for innovation in teaching. Educational simulations are already effective tools for applying theory to real-world situations, allowing students to experiment with different strategies and receive feedback without real-life consequences. Incorporating game theory enhances these simulations by providing a framework to predict rational behaviour, represent competitive and cooperative interactions, and promote critical thinking. Despite these opportunities, significant gaps remain. The research highlights a lack of empirical evidence on how these simulations can shape character and values like duty, honour, and integrity, with most studies focusing on cognitive outcomes rather than affective ones. There is also a need for more research on how these simulations can be adapted for diverse university contexts, particularly in cultivating civic values and nation-building. In summary, integrating game theory into educational simulations holds great potential to improve strategic thinking, decision-making, and learner engagement.

References

- Agbo, F. J., Oyelere, S. S., Suhonen, J., & Tukiainen, M. (2023). Design, development, and evaluation of a virtual reality game-based application to support computational thinking. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 71(2), 505–537. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11423-022-10161-5>.
- Bokhour, B. G., Fix, G. M., Mueller, N. M., Barker, A. M., Lavela, S. L., Hill, J. N., ... & Lukas, C. V. (2018). How can healthcare organizations implement patient-centered care? Examining a large-scale cultural transformation. *BMC health services research*, 18(1), 168.
- Boyle, E. A., Hainey, T., Connolly, T. M., Gray, G., Earp, J., Ott, M., Lim, T., & Pereira, J. (2016). An update to the systematic literature review of empirical evidence of the impacts and outcomes of computer games and serious games. *Computers & Education*, 94, 178–192.
- Cano-Berlanga, S., Giménez-Gómez, J. M., & Vilella, C. (2019). Quantitative game theory applied to economic problems. In *Handbook of statistics*, 41, 281-307). Elsevier.
- Faria, A. J., Hutchinson, D., Wellington, W. J., & Gold, S. (2009). Developments in business gaming: A review of the past 40 years. *Simulation & Gaming*, 40(4), 464–487. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046878108327585>.
- Harvey, G., McCormack, B., Kitson, A., Lynch, E., & Titchen, A. (2018). Designing and implementing two facilitation interventions within the ‘Facilitating Implementation of Research Evidence (FIRE)’ study: a qualitative analysis from an external facilitators’ perspective. *Implementation Science*, 13(1), 141.
- Katsikopoulos, K. V., et al. (2022). Teaching strategic reasoning through game-theoretic simulations: A cognitive perspective. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*.
- Kitchenham, B. (2004). *Procedures for Performing*. Keele University
- Lean, J., Moizer, J., Towler, M., & Abbey, C. (2006). Simulations and games: Use and barriers in higher education. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 7(3), 227–242. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787406069056>
- Lessard S, Bareil C, Lalonde L, Duhamel F, Hudon E, Goudreau J, Levesque L, 2016. External facilitators and interprofessional facilitation teams: a qualitative study of their roles in supporting practice change. *Implement. Sci et al.* 11, 97 10.1186/s13012-016-0458-7.
- Lindberg, S., & Jönsson, A. (2023). Preservice teachers training with avatars: A systematic literature review of “human-in-the-loop” simulations in teacher education and special education. *Education Sciences*, 13(8), 817.
- Myerson, R. B. (2010). Learning from Schelling’s Strategy of conflict. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 48(4), 1109–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.48.4.1109>
- Nash, J. (1950). Equilibrium points in n-person games. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 36(1), 48–49. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.36.1.48>
- Perla, P. P. (1990). The art of wargaming: A guide for professionals and hobbyists. *Naval Institute Press*.
- Riedl, R., et al. (2020). Reflective learning in strategic simulations: The role of game theory. *Simulation & Gaming*.
- Rodrigo, M. M. T., Baker, R. S. J., D’Mello, S., Gonzalez, M. C. T., Lagud, M. C. V., Lim, S. A. L. et al (2008). Comparing learners’ affect while using an intelligent tutoring system and a simulation problem solving game. In B. Woolf, E. Aïmeur, R. Nkambou & S. Lajoie (Eds), *Intelligent tutoring systems 2008*, LNCS 5091 (pp. 40–49). Verla Berlin Heidelberg: Springer
- Sabin, J. (2012). *Simulating war: Studying conflict through simulation games*. Bloomsbury Academic.

- Shute, V. J., & Ventura, M. (2018). Interdisciplinary design of game-based learning platforms. *Florida State University*.
- Spencer, S., Drescher, T., Sears, J., Scruggs, A. F., & Schreffler, J. (2019). Comparing the efficacy of virtual simulation to traditional classroom role-play. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 57(7), 1772–1785.
- Von Neumann, J., & Morgenstern, O. (1944). Theory of games and economic behavior. *Princeton University Press*.
- Whitton, N. (2007). Motivation and computer game based learning. *Proceedings of the Australian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education, Singapore*.
- Zhang, J., & Li, J. (2023). Spatial Cognitive Engine Technology. *Elsevier*.